

LOWER PAXTON TOWNSHIP
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of Workshop Meeting held August 9, 2016

A workshop meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Lower Paxton Township was called to order at 6 p.m. by Chairman William L. Hornung, on the above date in the Lower Paxton Township Municipal Center, 425 Prince Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.

Supervisors present in addition to Mr. Hornung were: William B. Hawk; William C. Seeds Sr., and Gary A. Crissman.

Also in attendance was George Wolfe, Township Manager; Steve Stine, Township Solicitor, Christine Hunter, Heroes Grove; Dave Seaman, Partnership for Hope; Ann Myers, Jewish Home of Greater Harrisburg; Chief William Payne and William Freeman, Linglestown Fire Company; Stan Smith and Glenn Hafer, Parks and Recreation Board; Terry Bauknight, Parks and Recreation Manager; and Watson Fisher, SWAN.

Pledge of Allegiance

Mr. Crissman led in the recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance.

Public Comment

No public comment was presented.

Oath of Office to Police Officers

Public Safety Director David Spotts introduced the following three police officers.

Bryan Kunkle is 29 years old and grew up in Maryland. Bryan has a Bachelor of Arts with a focus in Government and Politics from the University of Maryland. While attending the University he played on a club soccer team. Bryan graduated from the Baltimore City Police Department's Academy where he received his police officer certification and worked for the Baltimore Police Department for four years. He will be trained by Officer Wable.

Shayne Barber is 26 years old and grew up in Forty Fort, Pennsylvania. He was a cannon crewman (Operate high technology cannon artillery weapon systems) in the United States Marine Corps. Shayne has a degree in Criminal Justice from Luzerne County Community College. Shayne was enrolled in the Lackawanna College Municipal Police Officer Training

program where he received his police officer certification. He will be trained by Officer Kauffman.

Matthew Bartow is 23 years old and grew up in Ephrata, Pennsylvania. He attended Ephrata HS where he participated in soccer, winter track and volleyball. Matthew has a degree in Criminal Justice from York College of Pennsylvania. While attending college he played club volleyball and club soccer. Matthew is a recent graduate of MPOETC Police Academy at HACC, where he received his police officer certification. He will be trained by Officer Roland.

Chairman Hornung administered the oath of office to the three new police officers. Public Safety Director presented each officer with his badge after which pictures were taken.

Presentation of Dauphin County Local Share Municipal Grant Applications proposed by organizations located within the Township

Mr. Wolfe explained that the Dauphin County Local Share Grant Program provides funds from the Gaming System generated by Hollywood Casino in Dauphin County to municipalities throughout the County. He noted that the funds are mostly provided on a grant basis, based upon specific criteria developed under the program. He noted that the Township not only has the opportunity to submit grants for funding but any organization that desires funding under the program that exists within the Township must obtain the Board's approval prior to applying for a grant.

Mr. Wolfe explained that the agenda is broken up into several parts, the first being presentation by organizations other than Lower Paxton Township who desire to submit a grant application who reside in the Township. He noted that you will hear about the Township's grant application requesting grant funds for Lower Paxton Township activities. He noted that the Board will have the opportunity to act on the resolutions for these eight projects authorizing the submission of the grant application and then the Board must rank the eight applications in priority order.

Mr. Wolfe noted, at this time, Christine Hunter is present to explain her grant application that has been funded before under the Heroes Grove Project.

Ms. Christine Hunter, H. Edward Black, noted that this will be her last grant application request for the Heroes Grove project in 2016. She explained that she attended the pre-application

meeting with Dauphin County, and it was suggested at that time that her grant be expanded to include the construction of a new restroom at the site in Brightbill Park. She noted that Phase II for Heroes Grove includes a roof for the stage, enclosure of the electrical panel, railings, landscaping and the renovations to an existing restroom to bring them it up to the ADA standards. She noted that the advisory representatives recommended that they plan for a new restroom so the scope has expanded slightly; therefore she is asking for \$300,000 in grant funds.

Chief William Payne noted that Captain Will Freeman is the second vice-president for the Fire Company and he is also present to make the request. Chief Payne explained that they are requesting \$550,000 for renovations to the fire house including an addition to the fire house to the existing building to build an additional wing to the front of the fire house on the left side or southern side of the fire house that would be 28 feet by 72 feet. He noted that it would provide more parking for trailers and another vehicle and a training area. He explained that the current training room only seats 30 people and they have done several joint trainings that do not accommodate that number of people. Captain Freeman noted that the training room that he is looking to expand also doubles as the Township's backup Emergency Operations Center. He noted that they learned during Super Storm Sandy that as we scale up events, it quickly becomes cramped and inadequate for emergency management practices. He noted that they would like to be better able to serve the Township with a larger training room.

Mr. Dave Seaman, Partnership for Hope, noted that they are an all-volunteer organization that collects and distributes over a million dollars' worth of home and baby items. He noted they work with other non-profits and have teams that help homeless families move to shelters. He noted that they provide mentoring and accountability as they return to the community. He noted that they help single-parents that are struggling and they also run the Dauphin County Holiday celebration at the Farm Show which helps over 2,000 people. He noted that they rent facilities to store the items that are provided by their retail partners such as Bed, Bath and Beyond, Bye, Bye Baby and the Disney Store. He noted that they are applying for \$84,000 that will include the purchase of a delivery truck, improving facilities as they have several sorting facilities in Lower Paxton Township where the donations are boxed and need to be sorted prior to being distributed. He noted that the sorting team uses an existing modular unit located at the New Hope Church on Colonial Club Drive that is not heated or air conditioned. He noted that they would like to turn

that into a more habitable space. He noted that they would like to create a co-working space in that existing unit which is a 25 by 60 modular facility. He noted that they work closely with the Lambs Organization in Linglestown operated out of the Linglestown Life United Methodist Church. He explained that they are also in need of sorting facilities. He noted that they began a collaboration with an organization called M28 and Friends over Fences collecting furniture. He noted that they have collected about \$100,000 worth of furniture and home items that will be distributed through their partner organizations, but they need racking for that purpose.

Ms. Ann Myers, Jewish Home of Greater Harrisburg, explained that the Jewish Home serves about 200 residents, having a skilled center and an independent center. She noted that the skilled center currently has Wi-Fi but the independent center does not. She noted that they are asking for \$30,000 to upgrade the Wi-Fi system for the independent living unit that will allow the residents to become more connected to the Jewish Home and families and friends. She explained that many residents have smart phone and tablets and there is only one place, the Café, that has this service and it has limited seating. She noted that it would enhance the entire living unit as extend to the outside courtyard. She noted that they would be able to get updates on weather, programing and services, order prescriptions or transportation services and meals. She noted that it would be a great enhancement to their lifestyle as we are all connected and they want to be connected too. She noted that it will give them the freedom that they really deserve.

Presentation of Dauphin County Local Share Municipal
Grant Applications proposed by the Township

Mr. Wolfe noted that the Board is already aware of the applications that the Township wants to submit. He explained that that they consist of two that were submitted last year and were not funded. He noted the first is a walking path/sidewalk construction along Nyes Road as part of the Greenway Plan for George Park. He noted that the facility is actively desired by the Township's Greenway Committee, estimated to cost \$50,000.

Mr. Wolfe noted that the second previously submitted application is for sound abatement facilities at the Compost Facility at a cost of \$200,000.

Mr. Wolfe noted that the Township desires to submit two new applications, the first the replacement of the rubberized flooring for Possibility Place Playground located in George Park

at an estimated cost of \$175,000, and the fourth would be the upstream Earl Drive channel stabilization project at a cost of \$300,000.

Resolution 16-32-01 to 16-32-08 authorizing the applications and ranking of applications requesting Dauphin County Local Share Municipal grant funds

Mr. Wolfe explained that the Board will only rank the applications that it authorizes by way of adopting a resolution for each application. He noted that there are eight resolutions for the Board to consider at this time. He noted that the resolution for the Linglestown Fire Company needs to be amended in that the amount indicated in the resolution is \$500,000 and they have requested \$550,000. He noted that each application would be complete for Board action at this time.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve all eight resolutions, 16-32-01 through 16-32-08 for all eight applicants. Mr. Hawk seconded the motion. Mr. Hornung called for a voice vote and a unanimous vote followed.

Mr. Wolfe requested that the Board rank all eight applications at this time after which he would tally the votes. He noted that the most important would receive the rank of one with the least important receiving the rank of eight.

Mr. Hornung suggested that the Park and Recreation Board start their presentation while the Board is ranking the applications and Mr. Wolfe tallies the results.

Discussion with the Parks and Recreation Board
Regarding sponsorship and recognition in Township parks

Mr. Stan Smith thanked the Board for the opportunity to address the Board on this critical issue in terms of the Parks and Recreation Board's (Parks Board) responsibility to provide wise counsel about parks and recreation. He noted that he would like to frame what the Parks Board thinks are the issues regarding this. He noted that you received a copy of the memo from the Parks Board as well as some supporting documents. He noted that the Parks Board has identified three key questions that need to be addressed and have provided a proposal for what to do next.

Mr. Smith explained that the Board has experienced a continued request from Township sports organizations for the selling of merchandize, soliciting of sponsors for events for ongoing activities, for capital improvements in the parks, and the placement of recognition of donors. He

explained that there are two guiding documents that currently address this issue for the Township. He noted that the first is Ordinance 132-4 that was adopted in 1973 which prohibits merchandizing, advertising and the placement of signs in the parks. He noted that the second guideline is Resolution 95-11 that was adopted March 20, 1995 that provided a means to accept capital improvement donations, and also indicated specifications about the size of a sign to be used for recognition.

Mr. Smith noted that the third context issue that surfaced the last time the Parks Board appeared before the Supervisors was an interest in viewing this process as an income source for the Township in addition to an income source for particular sports organizations.

Mr. Smith suggested that the three key questions are: 1) does the Ordinance and Resolution adequately address the current situation concerning signage, sponsorship and advertising; 2) does the currently policy for usage fees, application fees, vendor permits, adequately address the growing need for improved coordination, enforcement, and management of the usage of Township parks; and 3) does the Township desire to explore these provisions as a potential income source for the Township as well as the organizations that are using the Township parks.

Mr. Smith noted that we currently have a few situations that are out of compliance with the current Township regulations, some of which have been in practice for a number of years. He noted that we have had a discussion among the Park and Recreation Board and Supervisors Hawk and Lindsey joined in a discussion at a recent meeting explaining what staff has done to help navigate these issues. He explained that he wanted to public commend the Parks and Recreation staff for their diligence in identifying this issue and for the extensive research they have provided to find possible solutions. Mr. Hornung agreed that we have a great staff.

Mr. Smith noted that these three questions would be a great place to begin the discussions.

Mr. Hornung noted that this should be an open discussion with the Board members making responses to your comments at this time. He noted that we originally had these workshops in the smaller room, noting that these conversations are probably more interesting than discussions held during the business meetings. He noted that the end result is that it seems

to make these workshop meetings more formal and he requested Mr. Smith to keep the discussion as informal as much as possible, and not to pay attention to the cameras.

Mr. Smith noted, due to the nature of the situation, we believe that the best approach is a joint process where representatives from the Board of Supervisors, Parks and Recreation Board, Parks and Recreation staff and Township Manager could work together to formulate a plan for how to address those three questions. He noted that we need to determine if our guidelines are adequate and if there is a need for change, looking at the current fees and permits etc., to determine if they are adequate and properly enforced, and who the income stream is for.

Mr. Seeds questioned if you have contacted other Townships in the State to look at their policies. He suggested that the Parks Board create a subcommittee to do this to include someone from the Planning Commission and a Supervisor, and charge them with researching and finding out what other areas do to come back to the entire Parks Board to make a recommendation.

Mr. Smith noted the Parks Staff has evaluated a dozen or more provisions in other Townships near us and from other parts of Pennsylvania and other States. He noted as we have evaluated those provisions with the one guiding document that seemed to be the most complete being the document from Eugene Oregon. He noted that we really pursued that. He noted that there are some unique dynamics to Lower Paxton that are not addressed by others due to the size and scope for what we have to offer. He noted that we looked beyond Pennsylvania and found that the policy from Eugene Oregon would be a good place to start.

Mr. Seeds noted that the subcommittee should include members from the local sports organization to see what they would like and what would help them. Mr. Smith noted that it would be helpful to the Parks Board this would be viewed as a potential income stream to provide some basic cost recovery for staff's time. He noted if you want us to come up with a proposal we will, but we are interested in some feedback from the Supervisors on policy direction.

Mr. Seeds noted that he would like the proposal to include feedback from the community and sports organizations.

Mr. Crissman stated that he is not ready to move forward until he sees a written assessment in terms of our current rules and regulations, what is working, what is not working, and what is being enforced as he had heard that there are things going on that are not being

enforced. He explained that he would like to know what the problems are as he does not believe that we can move forward until we have assessed what we have and what our problems are that we need to address before we can move to the larger issue and that is the donations, naming rights, etc. He noted that the next piece would be what is the action plan to put into place, what already is in existence, and what needs to be amended. He noted that he is not ready to take the big step until he has all of his foundation blocks in place. He noted that he can't build a building until he knows that the foundation blocks that we have are good or if they need to be torn down and start all over.

Mr. Seeds questioned Ms. Bauknight if we are having a problem. Ms. Bauknight noted that we do not have a problem but we are not in compliance for signs around the baseball fields. Mr. Crissman noted that he would rather have an assessment of all those things to get our house in order first before we take the big step.

Mr. Smith noted that the Park Board would concur with those statements but what raised it to this level for the Park Board was a request related to signage and this is why they brought it to the Supervisor's attention. He noted that the Park Board realized that it did not have a consistent pattern in place. He noted that what you have asked would be part of the workgroup or process we get into. Mr. Crissman noted that you are already working with the basics but you need to gel what is going on to identify the conflicts and come back with your assessment with recommendations to resolve the issues. He noted that once we have that piece in place then we will have a solid foundation to move forward with the big issues. He noted that naming rights need to be addressed. He noted for the Friendship Center, Freddie the Frog needs to be replaced and several corporate sponsors have indicated interest in doing that but since putting names on items is not part of our policy we can't do it.

Mr. Seeds questioned if we have a problem with conflicts or something not being compliant with the ordinances that is in effect. He noted that some organizations with or without the Township's knowledge have sponsors who put up signs and things are not compliant. Mr. Seeds suggested that such things may be grandfathered in. Mr. Wolfe noted that you have both and there is no grandfathering of an illegal sign. He suggested that the real issue is that it is a revenue generation with an organization selling your space as you own the parks and keeping the money, then you are in conflict with them by that point as your policy states that they are not

allowed to do it. He noted that is what is occurring and has been occurring gradually over time. He noted that you may want that to occur after you have more detailed discussions with organizations or you may want it to occur on a joint basis with the Township and the organizations.

Mr. Seeds noted that there will be a problem with an organization that has had a sign up for 20 years or more from a local sponsor who has been supporting that organization for all those years. He suggested that telling them that they are not in compliance and they have to take their sign down won't go over well. Mr. Wolfe noted that you will have conflicts because of it. Mr. Crissman noted that we have a regulation and the question is, do we enforce the regulation, do we wink at the resolution, or do we scrap it and rewrite it to meet the needs for what has been in existence for the past 20 years. He noted that he is throwing this question back to the Parks Board to provide an assessment.

Mr. Hornung noted that he is going to the 30,000 foot level on this. He noted that the culture of the Board of Supervisors has changed somewhat in that we went to the Friendship Center Operating Board and charged them to make it profitable. He noted that they were told to come back to the Board and explain how they would do this. He noted that they came back with a great idea and the numbers are going in the right direction and they are continuing to move down that road. He explained that he would like to do the same thing with the Parks Board to provide the authority to take some ownership and come back to the Supervisors. He noted that he does not want to get bogged up too much as he has three organizations who are biting at the bit to do something with Wolfersberger Park. He noted that his issue is one of impatience in that every year we delay not doing something with that park is another year that kids don't get the opportunity to play some sports. He noted that he is very impatient in that area as these three organizations are willing to put private money in that park. He noted that he does not know how we would work through that. He noted for the Township to develop that land using Township funds will be a very long process as we have MS4, stormwater and sanitary sewer issues that will be in excess of \$200 million, and it will be difficult to add a new park development on top of that. He noted the land just sits there and is not used, we are missing an opportunity for kids to participate in sports. He noted that we need to get the kids away from screen time as we know that 75% of the kids are overweight in the United States. He explained that he wants to see the

Wolfersberger Tract developed as quickly as possible, turned into some type of sports place noting that it is an opportunity for the children and sports organizations to grow to make Lower Paxton Township a better community. He stated that someday he would like the Township's address to be Lower Paxton Township and not Harrisburg. He noted, at this point we have to control what is going on in the playing fields until we make the changes to the rules and regulations and the quicker the better. He noted if you are going to wait to come up with a subcommittee to meet with the Supervisors, all we are doing is bogging it down in paperwork. He noted that he would like to see the Parks Board come back to the Supervisors and say this is where we are going. He explained that Dauphin County put together a Comprehensive Program of investigating where they think sports arenas or programs should go and he has contacted Fred Lighty to get that information. He suggested that the Parks Board should take a look at that. He noted that the Parks Board needs to provide the Supervisors with the direction for where it wants to go. He noted, in the past, the Parks Board was not given the authority and responsibility to develop the parks into something that we can all be very proud of. He requested Mr. Smith to come back to the Board to tell us how this will all happen.

Mr. Hornung noted that there are some issues for what is going on now and if we continue as we have had in the past we may have an issue with a sponsor signage that we can't undo. He noted that has to be communicated to the sports organizations noting that we need to limit this stuff and we need to determine how the revenue would be divvied up. He noted that there is the initial building or land that could have sponsor names on it and then the issue of who puts signs up along the fences, who would get the revenue and what type of advertising do we allow. He noted that there is also the ongoing maintenance for the payment of debt that has been incurred as a result of the development of the parks.

Mr. Hornung noted that he sees the future of many children in our hand and it bothers him that we keep putting this off and are not taking any action.

Mr. Smith noted that the Parks Board would second what you stated and he likes Mr. Hornung's term about culture because one of the things that we were testing tonight was because there are regulations, the Park Board has tended not to get involved with that. He noted to hear you say to us that you want us to get in and look at it is very helpful to us for how to move

forward. He noted that the Parks Board wanted to make sure that it was not running in a direction that was contrary to the sense of the Supervisors.

Mr. Hornung noted that the Friendship Center Operating Board has done some incredible things as far as running the business at the Friendship Center.

Mr. Hawk noted that he finds the conversation extremely interesting as he had the greatest respect for the Parks and Recreation Board as they have innovative ideas and your dedication is remarkable. He noted that a plan without a timetable is a pipe dream and we need to look at the things that Mr. Crissman mentioned as he wants to know how we will get there. He noted that he would hate to see things delayed as you have a lot of good ideas but they need to be formulated to come up with a roadmap that makes sense.

Mr. Smith noted that the Parks Board and Parks staff are very motivated to see this thing move forward. He noted what you have shared with us tonight opens up the conversation for the Parks Board as we want Wolfersberger developed as well.

Mr. Hawk noted that Mr. Hornung mentioned that our future goal is that Lower Paxton Township is the place where everyone wants to come and play and live and work. He suggested that they create some new ideas and opportunities that will make the Township the talk of the town. He noted that he and Ms. Lindsey are working with Ms. Bauknight on a couple of projects and he would be willing to offer more time.

Mr. Seeds noted when you look at the future and what money is available from the State and Local grants, and what we are faced with the sanitary sewer and storm sewer problems and what we will have to spend over the next 10 to 20 years, he can't figure where the money will come from. He noted if we are going to develop the land we will need to look for private funds and he is in agreement that we need to do whatever we can within our legal bounds to do the best for the community to develop what we have.

Mr. Crissman noted that he is more conservative and he wants to know where we are, what we are doing, and that everything is clean before we move forward. He noted that Mr. Hornung is running and he is walking at this point. He noted that is fine as we need diversity in thinking but he is not ready and philosophically we have to have a total agreement that we are willing to go to the outside for private donations for naming rights. He noted that it is a major philosophical difference from what the Township has espoused for many years, but that is not to

say that he is not supporting the change. He noted that there may not be unanimity but his opinion will be heard.

Ms. Bauknight noted as far as the development of the parks, the fact that George Park is not fully developed yet, is it the Supervisors desire to go in that direction or turn our attention to Wolfersberger. Mr. Hornung answered both. He noted that he hates not having something finished; however, he sees that being done in different phases. He noted that Wolfersberger is in a preliminary phase and he will solicit a different kind of participation from the private sector than say finishing a park. He noted that is not to say that you can't name a field or something like that. He noted that Mr. Crissman is not as positive to take private money to use at this point. Mr. Crissman answered that he is but he has not heard the unanimity of the Board to philosophically say that it is willing to take private money to advertise. Mr. Hornung noted that Mr. Hawk and Mr. Seeds have said yes to this. He noted that we need to determine the conditions under which we would accept private money and for what purpose. He noted that we need to know what we want to do and what we don't want to allow. He noted if the Parks Board comes forward with a recommendation then we could determine that at that time. He noted that the Parks Board and staff are in the trenches and we need to rely on them to provide good recommendations to the Supervisors. He noted that Ms. Bauknight is going to be the person who will make it work. He noted that participation with the Parks and Recreation Board is important and the Supervisors may want to get involved with certain issues such as Mr. Crissman getting involved with Freddie the Frog, and he may want to get involved with Wolfersberger Park, and maybe the other Supervisors may get involved with other projects. He noted that some projects the Board may personally get involved with because it may be a pet issue or something they would like to see promoted. He noted in general, unless he hears from other Board members, we are giving you the authority to move forward.

Mr. Crissman noted that he supports private donations but he is not happy about it at all. He noted that he has opposed it for years both here and elsewhere but it is the society in which we live, all kinds of governments are now being forced to use private monies in order to keep their organizations moving forward in a successful manner. He noted that is why he is willing to support it. He noted that by telling you to move forward he will not want to lose site of the piece that is not functioning right now. He noted that he wants to make sure that piece is functioning

and working. He noted that we should not be putting all our energies doing all this work and still have an undercurrent of something that is not working and not functioning. He noted that he will hang on to that piece.

Mr. Smith noted that he needed to hear tonight what parameters the Supervisors want the Park's Board to operate in, and we did our best thinking with the parameters that we understood. He noted that this was helpful, we will do a good process to this, and will be glad to come back.

Mr. Hornung noted that there are five members on the Supervisors Board and we will have different opinions but we all get along with each other and we all understand that we have a difference of opinion and that is what makes this Board a good Board. He noted that Mr. Smith should not be taken back if he sees that the Supervisors have different opinions, we will discuss it and move forward. He noted that some people get their way and some do not; it is just part of life.

Mr. Seeds noted that Ms. Bauknight asked a question in regard to George Park and Mr. Hornung answered it. He noted if we look at private money we should try to use it for Wolfersberger and also try to finish George Park at the same time with government money. He suggested that we could do both simultaneously if we get a policy that works as far as private and public to move forward with both.

Mr. Hornung noted that we all have our opinions but there is no use making a new ordinance if we are not going to enforce the current ones. He noted that we need to hold our fingers in the dyke until we fix the problem.

Mr. Hawk noted what he is hearing is that we need to make sure our feet are firmly planted on the ground and we are wagging the tail and not letting the tail wag us.

Mr. Smith noted that the Park's Board was to see where the Supervisors were as it shapes the direction and initiative and we will work on it.

Mr. Hornung questioned Mr. Hafer if he had anything to say. Mr. Hafer answered that he wanted to listen to get the input.

Mr. Smith explained that Neil Johnson is the chairman of the Park's Board and he has missed several meetings since his son is in a health crisis and that is why he is pinch hitting for him.

Ranking of Grants

Mr. Wolfe noted that the ranking for the Dauphin County Local Share Grant Applications provided for a tie vote. He noted that the highest priority item was Possibility Play flooring followed by a tie for second place with the Linglestown Fire Company and the Earl Drive Stormwater upstream culvert. He noted the fourth project was Heroes Grove followed by Nyes Road Sidewalk, followed by Partnership for Hope, followed by Jewish Home of Great Harrisburg, with the last being the Compost Facility Noise Reduction. He noted that he needs the Board to vote again to break the tie in regards to the Linglestown Fire Company building addition and the Earl Drive stream channel improvements.

Chief Payne stated that he would save the aggravation noting that the Fire Company would take the number three spot. Mr. Hornung questioned if the Board was okay with that or would it want to vote to break the tie. Mr. Crissman noted that he would like to vote. Mr. Hawk agreed. At this time the Board voted to break the tie. Mr. Wolfe read the ballots only to find that the votes remained the same, two votes for each project.

Mr. Seeds questioned if Ms. Lindsey has voted on these projects. Mr. Wolfe answered that Ms. Lindsey is not at the meeting. Mr. Hornung noted that Chief Payne agreed to take the third place.

Mr. Wolfe read the priority list again and requested the Board to vote to adopt the list: Possibility Place, Earl Drive, Linglestown Fire Company addition, Heroes Grove, Nyes Road Sidewalk, Partnership for Hope, Jewish Home of Greater Harrisburg, and Compost Facility. Mr. Hawk made a motion to accept the priority list as provided by Mr. Wolfe. Mr. Seeds seconded the motion. Mr. Hornung called for a voice vote with three ayes and one nay provided by Mr. Crissman.

Strategic Plan review (Town of Berlin, Maryland)

Mr. Hornung explained that he was recently in the Town of Berlin, Maryland as Mr. Seeds had suggested to him that he visit this small town. He noted that the Board would like to come up with something that would resemble a small town and having a strong community. He noted that people from Colonial Park have come to him to say that they do not have a central area; whereas Linglestown has a central area. He noted that Colonial Park is spread out. He

noted that he went to this town to get some ideas of what we could look at. He explained, in talking to the community in Berlin, it was unbelievable how it was the center of the community. He noted that many businesses have been there for a long time, local people who grew up in Berlin, and it was exciting to see how it was such a hub of activity that pulled that community together. He stated, as we get more developed in Lower Paxton Township, he would like to keep the quaintness and create a community which reduces the need for police services and helps the tax base. He noted that it provides for a lot of good things for the community.

Mr. Hornung explained that he took a lot of pictures when he visited Berlin and he would like to show them at this time. He noted that it is not totally what we could achieve in Linglestown, as this town is brick and Linglestown's buildings have siding, but he wanted to show the pictures to get a flavor of what they are doing.

Mr. Seeds noted that he and his wife have a place near Berlin and his wife loves to go there because of the neat little stores and the quaintness of the place. He noted that many people visiting Ocean City Maryland go to visit Berlin for the day. He noted that it has a sense of community and he thanked Mr. Hornung for taking the pictures. He explained that we want people to come and spend their time and money in the Township.

Mr. Hornung noted that every weekend Berlin had some type of activity going on, such as bathtub races, etc. He noted that it brought the whole place to life. He requested Mr. Wolfe to show the pictures at this time as he made various comments explaining some of the pictures.

Mr. Crissman noted that not too far from here is the Town of Lititz. He explained that they have a First Friday event every month and they do the same things as they have live music on the street and have the wineries open. He noted that is something that we could develop in our community as it draws people in from everywhere.

Mr. Seeds noted that the Linglestown Area Civic Association has talked about doing that.

Mr. Crissman noted that it is a great concept that is catching on in many communities.

Resolution 16-31; Planning Module for the Public Works vehicle wash facility

Mr. Wolfe noted Resolution 16-31 will allocate capacity in the sanitary sewer system for the vehicle wash facility. He noted that it is a process that all projects go through at some point in

time as they begin the construction process. He noted that this will allow sewage to be transmitted from the facility to the Swatara Township Sanitary Sewage Treatment Plant.

Mr. Hornung noted that we recently approved permits for sewer facilities in Beaver Creek. He questioned if this will require many of those. Mr. Wolfe answered no. He noted that there are far more permits than what we will use in the appreciable future.

Mr. Seeds questioned how many EDU's this project requires. Mr. Wolfe answered that the calculations are listed on the second page of the resolution noting that total usage per vehicle washed is 225 gallons. He suggested that an EDU is 350 gallons and one car wash is a little less than one EDU.

Mr. Seeds questioned if the facility will have an oil separator. Mr. Wolfe answered yes. Mr. Seeds questioned if any of the water will be recycled. Mr. Wolfe answered that it will not be as it will go directly to the treatment plant.

Mr. Hornung suggested that we are looking at 15 EDU's. He noted that the maximum daily use is 5,400 gallons per day. Mr. Wolfe agreed that it would be about 15 EDU's per day.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve Resolution 16-31 approving the planning module for the Public Works Vehicle Wash Building. Mr. Hawk seconded the motion. Mr. Horning called for a voice vote and a unanimous vote followed.

Announcements

Mr. Hornung noted that prior to the meeting the Board met in executive session to receive information from the Township Manager.

Adjournment

With there being no other business, Mr. Crissman made a motion to adjourn the meeting, and the meeting adjourned at 7:25 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Maureen Heberle
Recording Secretary

Approved by,

William B. Hawk
Township Secretary