

LOWER PAXTON TOWNSHIP
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of Board Meeting held July 21, 2015

The business meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Lower Paxton Township was called to order at 7:35 p.m. by Chairman William B. Hawk, on the above date, in the Lower Paxton Township Municipal Center, 425 Prince Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.

Supervisors present in addition to Mr. Hawk were: William C. Seeds, Sr., William L. Hornung, Gary A. Crissman, and Robin L. Lindsey.

Also in attendance was George Wolfe, Township Manager; Steve Stine, Township Solicitor; August Memmi, Dauphin County Industrial Development Authority; Mike Lapano, District 8, Senior Project Manager, PennDOT; Robert Nuss, Erdman and Anthony Associates; Andrew Kenworthy, HRG; Melissa Smith, GHD; William Weaver, Sewer Department Director; Jeff Kline, Public Works Director; Tim Mellott, Mellott Engineering; and Watson Fisher, SWAN.

Pledge of Allegiance

Mr. Crissman led in the recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance.

Approval of Minutes

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve the minutes from the business meetings held on June 16, 2015 and July 7, 2015. Ms. Lindsey seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk called for a voice vote and a unanimous vote followed.

Public Comment

No public comments were provided.

Board Members Comments

Ms. Lindsey wanted to thank the Community Engagement Committee for its efforts in sponsoring the Second Annual Arts 5k run that was held this past Saturday. She noted that many

people participated and it was a good time. Mr. Crissman thanked the Board members who supported the event.

Manager's Report

Mr. Wolfe noted that National Night Out will be held on Tuesday, August 4th, at George Park. He noted that numerous public safety providers will be in attendance as well as the Lower Paxton Township Police Department and Paxtonia, Colonial Park and Linglestown Fire Companies. He noted that South Central EMS will also be in attendance as this event has grown to include displays by the Township's Public Works Department and Sewer Authority. He noted that the Parks and Recreation Departments will also host many activities, and food and drinks will be available.

Mr. Wolfe noted that the 2015 TGIF Summer Concert Series continues on Friday, July 24th with Steve Rudolph; and on August 7th with New Direction. He noted that the concerts are sponsored by Enders Insurance, Sprint, and Hoffman Ford and are held at George Park.

Agreement of sale of land between the Township and the Dauphin County Industrial Development Authority of a MDJ Office

Mr. Wolfe noted that the Board has been in discussions with Dauphin County Industrial Development Authority in regard to the sale of approximately one acre of land in front of the Township's Public Works Facility to be used by the Industrial Development Authority for the construction of a Magisterial District Judge's Office. He noted that the discussions have culminated in two documents that are on the agenda, one an agreement of sale and the second is a cost sharing agreement. He noted that the agreement of sale provides for the sale of the land to Dauphin County and the cost sharing agreement provides for Dauphin County and the Township to work together for site work activities in that the Township will be relocating and constructing a baseball field and it will also do site work for the expansion of its Public Works Facility so the two entities have agreed to share the costs of site work and the site civil engineering activities.

Mr. Wolfe noted that the agreement of sale provides for a sales price to the Township of \$148,639.50 based upon a mutually agreed appraisal. He noted that it also provides for the purchase of a stormwater easement by which Lower Paxton Township will detain and manage stormwater created by Dauphin County's parcel on Township property. He noted that the stormwater easement is valued at \$7,500.00. He noted that the two documents are presented to the Board for action this evening.

Mr. Hawk noted that August Memmi, representing the Dauphin County Industrial Development Authority is present to answer any questions.

Mr. Hawk made a motion to conditionally approve the agreement of sale as written without any adjustments, alterations, amendments or changes in working of any kind of language between the Township and the Dauphin County Industrial Development Authority for a Magisterial District Judge Office. He noted that the agreement will be signed by the Dauphin County Industrial Development Authority and then returned to the Township for the Chairman's signature. Mr. Seeds seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk called for a roll call vote: Ms. Lindsey, aye; Mr. Crissman, nay; Mr. Hornung, aye; Mr. Seeds, aye, and Mr. Hawk, aye.

Mr. Crissman explained the reason for his nay vote is not in regard to the content nor the current language of what is being proposed but it is due to the process that he is a firm believer that the Dauphin County Industrial Development Authority should be the first signature on the document before it is approved by this body; therefore his negative vote.

Cost Sharing Agreement between the Township and the
Dauphin County Industrial Development Authority of a MDJ Office

Mr. Wolfe noted that he has nothing more to add as he explained this along with the first agreement.

Mr. Seeds made a motion to approve the Cost Sharing Agreement between the Township and the Dauphin County Industrial Development Authority for a Magisterial District Justice

office in that they will share 20% of the engineering costs and also \$7,500 for stormwater. Mr. Hawk seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk called for a voice vote with Mr. Crissman providing the lone opposing vote, providing the same reason as found for the previous motion.

Action to accept a proposal from J. W. Enterprises to analyze noise from the operation of the Township's compost facility and make recommendations for remediation

Mr. Wolfe noted that Josh Wilson who is the principal from J. W. Enterprises, is an acoustic engineer, who has provided a proposal to the Township with a total cost of \$5,574.00 to do a detailed analysis of the noise generated by the Township's compost facility during operational hours. He noted from that analysis he will make recommendations on how to abate the noise or reduce the noise if at all possible. He explained that he plans to prepare an audio demonstration of the existing noise level for what it would be if the Township's chooses to abate the noise generated by the facility. Mr. Wolfe noted that the noise at the compost facility has been an ongoing issue that staff has tried to address with staff believing that moving forward at this point in time without engineering advice would not be wise; therefore this proposal provides the opportunity to obtain the engineering advice needed to further evaluate this issue.

Mr. Hawk questioned if the Supervisors decide to approve the agreement can it just approve the \$4,400 and not the additional \$1,174. Mr. Wolfe answered yes, however it is staff's recommendation to do both.

Mr. Crissman noted after much discussion held during many workshop meetings regarding this issue, it is imperative that we move forward with the study; therefore, he made a motion to accept the proposal from J. W. Enterprises to do the analysis for the noise level generated at the compost facility and to make recommendations for remediation noting that the Board will have an opportunity to determine where it will go after the study is completed. He noted that the amount for the study shall not exceed \$5,574.00. Ms. Lindsey seconded the motion since she has been on site with Mr. Seeds in the past and this is very much needed. Mr.

Crissman noted that the community needs to understand that this is for a study only and it has nothing to do with remediation costs as that will be another decision to be made in the future.

Mr. Hawk called a voice vote and a unanimous vote followed.

Action on a request to conduct fireworks in Koons
Park as part of the Linglestown 250th Celebration

Mr. Wolfe noted that he recommends approval for the fireworks with the proviso that the fireworks display be conducted in the same manner and fashion as the 5th of July display that was conducted by the Township jointly with the Linglestown Fire Company. He noted that the Linglestown Fire Company is involved with this event along with the Sestercentennial Committee and they also plan to use Sky Shooters Displays which is the same company that provides the 5th of July fireworks. He noted that the Township has not received a plan from the Sestercentennial Committee but if it is done in conjunction with the plan that is currently exists at the park we should be fine.

Ms. Lindsey questioned if the Committee was aware that they need to submit a plan. Mr. Wolfe noted that the letter is all that the Township received. Mr. Seeds noted that Dan Crum from Linglestown Fire Company will be heading up the fireworks event and he does the fireworks every year for Linglestown, he will be in charge.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve the request to conduct fireworks in Koons Park as part of Linglestown' s 250th Celebration on Sunday, October 11 with the proviso that it will be conducted in the same manner and process using the same company under the supervision of the Linglestown Fire Company. Mr. Hornung seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk call for a voice vote and a unanimous vote followed.

Mr. Hornung noted that they have a jam packed weekend planned for the event.

NEW BUSINESS

Presentation by PennDOT representatives regarding plans to improve I-81 from the I-83 split to Mountain Road

Mr. Mike Lapano, District 8, Senior Project Manager, wanted to thank the Board for allowing him and Robert Nuss from Erdman and Anthony Associates who is the consulting engineer for the project, to explain the project. He noted that the Department is pursuing a project on Interstate 81 from the I-83 split to the Mountain Road exit, adding auxiliary lanes to connect the entrance and exit ramps for a smoother flow of traffic. He noted that Mr. Nuss will present the particulars for the project.

Mr. Robert Nuss explained that the intent of the project is to widen I-81 from the I-83 split to Mountain Road. He noted that he will leave two display boards with the Township for the public to view.

Mr. Nuss noted that most of the work will be done on I-81. He noted that the yellow coloring on the map is the area where the widening will occur. He noted that there will be three lanes in each direction along I-81 connecting the ramps from the I-83 split to Mountain Road. He noted that there will be no widening under Colonial Road as there are three lanes currently under that bridge. He noted that the widening will start after the curb north of that bridge. He noted that all the widening from that location to Lockwillow Avenue will occur in the inside of the highway, not having any impact to the outside shoulders. He noted since the Lockwillow Avenue Bridge is so tight, there will be widening on both the inside and outside of the highway.

Mr. Nuss noted that there will be some construction from the I-83 split underneath Colonial Road, noting that the middle section of that road is very rough and it will be repaved. He noted, when the widening is done, the entire road will be overlaid with asphalt.

Mr. Hornung questioned if they will be using the Super Pave asphalt. Mr. Nuss answered that he will use Super Pave as it helps to reduce the noise levels. Mr. Hornung questioned how

that road got so bumpy. Mr. Lapano noted that he will not throw anyone under the bus, but the road gets 70,000 vehicles a day and 12% are trucks, and it gets pounded. He noted that the subbase that was used was not compacted as well as it should have been, but through the normal cycle of load and unloading that goes on every day, you have subbase failure, and the roads starts to heave and it gets rough. He noted that the one section on I-81 north is really bad and it will be replaced as part of this project. Mr. Hornung questioned if you will dig it up. Mr. Lapano answered that we will have to reconstruct that section of the highway and once the widening is done of the auxiliary lanes, the entire section will be overlaid in blacktop. Mr. Hornung questioned why you are using blacktop. Mr. Lapano answered that it provides for a good riding surface, is a little quieter than concrete, and it meets the needs of the project to get a good surface the whole way across, not having joints showing through where we widened the road.

Mr. Seeds noted that it will be the only area of blacktop from the river for a long distance on I-81. Mr. Lapano answered yes. He noted when we reconstruct an interstate, we do it on concrete, but in this particular case we will use asphalt. Mr. Seeds noted that it is cheaper. Mr. Lapano answered yes.

Mr. Seeds questioned why that one area is bad. Mr. Lapano answered that it is a slab failure. He suggested when they compacted the road when it was redone many years ago, there were probably soft spots underneath or a spring and the water gets up in that location and it allows the subbase to fall away from the roadway.

Mr. Seeds questioned if it has anything to do with the vehicles coming off of I-83 in the turn. Mr. Lapano answered that he did not know if it had anything specifically to do with the vehicles but with the amount of trucks on the road, any area that is not fully supported will start to have base failures due to the loading for the 18-wheelers. He noted that he is not blaming it all on the trucks but they are a factor.

Mr. Crissman questioned if there would be work on the bridge at Colonial Road. Mr. Nuss answered that would be under the bridge. He questioned while that work is going on, will traffic on Colonial Road continue as usual. Mr. Nuss answered yes for Colonial Road. Mr. Crissman noted if you were doing construction that would cause issues with Colonial Road and are working on Mountain Road as they are parallel of each other we will have problems with the runs going north and south as they are the two main arteries. He noted if Mr. Nuss can assure him that the work under Colonial Road will not impact the traffic above, he is fine with that. Mr. Nuss noted that he is working on I-81 under the bridge and not doing any bridge work at that location.

Ms. Lindsey questioned when will the project start and end. Mr. Nuss answered that he is looking to bid the job in the summer of 2016, and provide a notice to proceed in the fall doing a small amount of work in the fall with the majority of work starting in the spring of 2017. He noted that he hopes to be done by the end of the season of 2017.

Mr. Lapano noted that they plan to maintain four lanes of traffic on I-81, with two lanes open in each direction.

Mr. Crissman questioned what impact this project will have on the Linglestown exit. Mr. Nuss noted that he expects to keep all the ramps open. He noted that they have not worked out all the details for how the ramp system will be built. He noted that it will be easy to maintain four lanes at all times on I-81. He noted that going northbound, the third lane from I-83 will connect into the loop ramp. He noted that 12 feet of pavement will be added to the outside of the ramp to go south on Mountain Road to Route 22. He noted that there could be a nighttime shut down for a short period of time. Mr. Crissman questioned if it would occur between midnight and 6 a.m. to alleviate problems because when the City lets out in the afternoon that area gets backed up. He noted that he does not want the phone calls when you have it blocked up

at four in the afternoon. Mr. Nuss noted that any lane closures on the ramps would have to be at nighttime.

Mr. Seeds questioned if the entire project will be done at night. Mr. Nuss answered that he does not expect that as there will be barriers where the yellow lanes are now on the median side to force the traffic to run on the existing lanes and shoulder; however, the contractor will have access to the complete median where most of the widening will occur. He noted once the contractor is set to start construction he should be able to do that any time of the day and continue to maintain four open lanes.

Mr. Crissman questioned when the project begins, will there be a representative from your agency available to keep Mr. Wolfe abreast of daily activities so he will be prepared to address the resident's questions on a daily basis. He noted that he wants to make sure the community is kept up to date so we don't have to tell the people to call PennDOT. Mr. Nuss answered that he can make that happen. Mr. Wolfe answered that he and Mr. Lapano have worked on several projects and he has always kept him inform of the status of projects.

Mr. Hornung noted that a man came into his store who is retired from PennDOT and he said that the Township is very lucky to have Mr. Lapano working in District 8. He noted that he is one of the best. Mr. Lapano stated that he appreciated that comment.

Mr. Seeds questioned when the work will begin to repave Mountain Road. Mr. Wolfe answered that he thought it might be this summer.

Mr. Nuss noted that the southbound ramp from Mountain Road to the south, coming around the loop ramp will have barriers separating it from I-81 so you will not get on the interstate from the loop ramp but proceed in a long ramp where the other southbound ramp will merge with it and they will form the third lane southbound on I-81. He noted that he will try to keep all lanes open at all times.

Mr. Crissman noted that the ramp sounds like it will be a service road running parallel for a slow merger to the main roadway like the turnpike running west. Mr. Nuss noted that it is the same as the Route 581 connector to Route 15 near the Camp Hill Mall.

Mr. Crissman noted that you indicated that this project will start in 2016. Mr. Nuss answered that he would not expect a lot of construction at that time, it would be more prep work, getting temporary roads ready, but in the spring of 2017, he expects the project to be full blast. Mr. Crissman questioned when the public will begin to see something happening. Mr. Nuss noted that it could be the fall of 2016. He noted that he would like to see Mr. Nuss at a public meeting with the Board at that time to provide information for the Township Newsletter, webpage, and viewing audience.

Change Order #8 to the 2014 storm sewer contract with Michael F. Ronca and Sons, Inc.

Mr. Hawk noted that Andrew Kenworthy from HRG is present to explain this change order.

Mr. Kenworthy noted that change order # 8 for the 2014 storm sewer contract is the final reconciliation of quantities associated with that project. He noted that there are some additions and some deducts from the list. He noted as the project was progressing, the quantities identified in the original bid contract were edited, noting that the quantities are reconciled at the end as to the actual installed amounts. He noted that the need for the changes came from a variety of sources, to include utilities that were marked incorrectly, coordination with the Sewer Department in regards to some new sewer lines, and it included some paving associated with that. He noted that some of the changes involved curb work, and when the road was exposed, there was base repair needed. He noted that it made sense to correct that at this time since the road was open. He noted those are the major items associated with it.

Mr. Kenworthy noted that he had inspectors on site who verified that the work was being completed in accordance with the contract. He noted that he would be happy to answer any questions the Board may have.

Mr. Seeds noted that Mr. Kenworthy is recommending approval since the inspectors were present and the work was completed. He noted that a lot of the work was requested by the Township. Mr. Kenworthy answered yes. He noted that we ran into some unforeseen conditions once the roads and utilities were opened up.

Mr. Crissman questioned why we did not know about the utilities and the sewer lines before we started the project. He questioned why that was discovered after the fact. Mr. Kenworthy noted that the water lines were not in the location that they were marked for so they had to adjust the work in and around the lines. He noted when the water company came in and made adjustments, they may have been different than what was part of the design. He noted in coordinating with the Sewer Department, it was identified that some areas could be improved once the road was opened, and it was extended at the direction of the Township, as it was a cost savings, addressing the issue while the contractor was mobilized on site and the road was open.

Mr. Crissman noted that he understands that you are given information for the plan and when you uncovered, it is not what was provided. He questioned why the sewer lines and water lines were an issue. He noted that it is difficult when we have change orders in the amount of \$367,000 to find out why we have to spend those funds. He noted that he understands that the information given was not what was found and you have to do the rectification and it is much cheaper to do other things when the roadway is open.

Mr. Hornung noted that most of the costs are for the Super Pave, it is \$250,000. He questioned what does that have to do with not knowing where the manholes or the utilities are located. Mr. Kenworthy answered when you find that the utilities are in different locations, the

limits of paving that were identified in the original plans get moved and expanded to accommodate the new locations of the utilities and that is how it shows up in the paving costs. He noted that the water company is moving the water line and the Township's project has to adjust the paving.

Mr. Wolfe noted that the short answer is that we did more work than we expected to do. He noted that it is not because we did the same amount of work that was bid, we did more, chasing improvements down the street as we uncovered things underground that we hadn't expected. He noted that the project in many locations expanded. He noted that although you are spending more money, you got more work done.

Ms. Lindsey noted to Mr. Weaver for some of the sewer projects, we have many difficulties with hitting the water lines as they haven't been marked properly, why is that. Mr. Wolfe answered in many respects the water company does not know where the facilities are located because they did not install them. He noted that the water company bought small water facilities throughout the area, as they were forced to do this by the PUC. He noted that they purchased facilities that were underground, and did not know where they were located and they do their best to locate those facilities. He noted that we have found that the water pipes are old asbestos concrete pipe (ACP) that is now brittle and when we vibrate on top of it, it cracks.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve change order No. 8 to the 2014 Storm Sewer Contract with Michael F. Ronca and Sons Inc. in the amount of \$367,491.00. Ms. Lindsey seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk call for a voice vote and a unanimous vote followed.

Change Order #2 to the BC-3A/3B sanitary sewer
mini-basin contract with R-III Construction, Inc.

Ms. Melissa Smith from GHD explained that Mr. Shannon could not be present this evening, so she came in his place. She noted that this change order is for the BC-3A/3B sanitary sewer contract to address the payment for the replacement of sanitary sewers "t" mains. She

noted that the specific area is Darlington Village and it is a PVC area that was tested and found that 46 of the sanitary laterals to the homes failed. She noted that the contract is worded that if the sanitary lateral fails, the “t” needs to be replaced. She noted that the bid notes were not clear enough to note how the item was to be paid and thus the change order. She noted that the contract contains the pricing for the building sewers, the laterals and the observation “t” so that change order is only for the costs to install the new “t” on the sanitary sewer mains and any testing and coordination support that is needed. She noted that since we are aware of this discrepancy, when bidding the next project this will be addressed in an addendum so that this issue will not occur. She noted that project also has PVC areas that may have lateral issues.

Mr. Hornung noted that he does not understand the memo, noting that it discussed six inch and eight inch pipe. He noted that it cost \$3,400 per unit and he questioned if there were 46 units at a cost of roughly \$140,000. Ms. Smith answered that there are line items for replacing the “t” in the contract but it is only for when we are replacing the actual main itself. She noted that it is common to replace the “t” when you put in a new main line as the trench is open and as they have the bypass pump in place; however this section is all PVC and the PVC main passed but the laterals that did not pass. She noted that the laterals had to be replaced and while doing this they will have to replace the “t” connector to the main line. She noted that they need to do work to reconnect the “t” to the main line and by pass pumping and other utility coordination. She noted that there are other underground utilities in that area.

Mr. Hornung questioned what price we have been getting where they replace the “t”. He noted that he does not remember it being that high. Ms. Smith answered that she does not recall what that price is. Mr. William Weaver noted that there is an observation “t” that goes at the curb between the curb and the sidewalk; a 6 x 6 “t” that we can stick a flashlight into to observe where the water is coming from. He noted that we are talking about the “t” that is in the road in

the main line. He distributed a picture to the Board members showing the “t” in the main line. Mr. Hornung noted that this is not an inspection or test “t”, they are... Mr. Weaver noted that the entire system was built in 1950’s for Colonial Park and for the rest of the Township in the 1970’s, and the engineer basically said we need to replace all the older sewers. He noted when you do that you are replacing everything in the street and to the house. He noted that Darlington Village is the only PVC area within this project, but when they did the bid specifications, we didn’t account for testing the laterals and having them individually fail. He noted that the contractor has to mobilize separately for each lateral which costs more money to cut the main open because he is not doing it as part of the main replacement, he is doing it as part of the house replacement. He noted once you cut the main, you have all these other costs as you have to replace the “t” and the bypass pumping and it is deeper than the regular lateral. He noted when you cut the main it is typically just more money.

Mr. Hornung questioned why did so many of the “t’s” fail. Mr. Weaver noted as part of the diagram that he provided, we don’t know if the “t” failed but the main line passed. He noted when they tested, they tested the lateral from the curb to the main line and there is no way to isolate the “t” as it is very small. He noted that you have to replace the “t” as part of the entire lateral replacement. He noted that there are around 80 properties in Darlington Village and half of them failed, but it is the old schedule 40 pipe that was glued and many times they did not use the primer and only glued it so the joints failed. He noted that is why we don’t use that pipe anymore, we use an STR 35 and believe that is probably where the failures are. He does not believe that the actual “t” is failing as there is no way to test it. He noted that you have to replace the “t” as part of the project to get all the water out.

Mr. Hornung noted that staff air tests the systems and air will leak where water won’t. He noted that PVC joints that are glued without using a primer, he understands that, is it leaking

water or is it not passing the air test. Mr. Weaver answered we find that it may not leak right away but once you replace everything else the ground water moves up and it goes to the path of less resistance. He noted that it may not leak for a certain storm event but when you have high flows, and everything else in the system is replaced, it may not have leaked a few months ago, but now it is. Mr. Hornung questioned if you are seeing high flows in this area. Mr. Weaver answered yes. He noted that the repair program never succeeded because we did spot repair, but with total replacement we are getting good results.

Mr. Weaver noted that we made the adjustments in the BC-4 contract that Ronca is doing now and there is a payout for that. He noted for all future projects, when we do testing with PVC and have to replace the laterals, we will have a bid item for that. He noted that he has not found a company who can test the “t” only. Mr. Wolfe noted that is not to say that you won’t be paying for it, you just won’t see it as a change order item.

Mr. Seeds noted that it seems like a lot of money, noting that they have to dig it up and it would depend on how deep the line is. He noted that they have to compact it and put different fill back in and pave it as it is all part of the cost. Mr. Weaver noted that we did another analysis as part of the BC-4 project, there is a payout number for this item. He noted when you look at the number that the bidders provided, it came out to be exactly what the change order is.

Mr. Hornung questioned, the \$3,400 that is the actual price for doing the “t”. Mr. Weaver answered yes. He noted what was competitively bid in the BC-4 contract is exactly what we are paying Ronca. He noted that it is a fair price because it is a change order and sometime they try to mark it up but it is very similar to the prices in the BC-4 contract.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve change order No. 2 for the BC-3A/3B sanitary sewer mini-basin contract with R-III Construction, Inc. in the amount of \$156,400.00. Mr. Hornung seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk call for a voice vote and a unanimous vote followed.

Resolution 15-14; authorizing acceptance of a 5-year
Winter Maintenance Agreement with PennDOT

Mr. Wolfe noted that this is the fourth acceptance of a five-year Winter Maintenance Agreement with PennDOT for state right-of-ways. He noted that the streets in question are: Rutherford Road, Colonial Road, Locust Lane, Union Deposit Road, Nyes Road, Blue Ridge Avenue, and portions of Mountain Road outside the interstate interchange. He noted that the total payment to Lower Paxton Township for the 2015-2016 year is \$27,257.47. He noted that the agreement typically has an annual escalator based upon inflation and is subject to annual review and approval by the Board prior to implementation for each successive year.

Mr. Wolfe noted that it is staff's recommendation that the Board authorize the approval of the agreement. He noted that the amount that the Township receives from PennDOT to provide winter maintenance on the selected roads probably does not commensurate with what it costs us to do the work but we drive over those state roads to get to our streets and it sets a bad precedent and appearance when we are driving over roads and we don't have our plows down or anti-skid spinning. He noted that it would be nice if we received additional compensation from the Commonwealth but he would recommend that the Board approve this agreement.

Ms. Lindsey questioned if it is an increase from last year's amount. Mr. Wolfe answered that it is about a 1.5% increase.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve Resolution 15-14; authorizing acceptance of a 5-year Winter Maintenance Agreement with PennDOT in the amount of \$27,257.47. Mr. Hornung seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk call for a voice vote and a unanimous vote followed.

Resolution 15-15; restating and renaming the Arts Advisory Council

Mr. Wolfe noted that the Arts Advisory Council wishes to remove the word Advisory from the Council's name. He noted that the resolution restates that which was in a previous

resolution by which the Arts Council provided to this Board when it accepted its Mission Statement many years ago.

Mr. Crissman questioned if the Arts Council, by changing its name remembers that it remains an advisory body to this Board. Mr. Wolfe answers that is correct.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve Resolution 15-15; restating and renaming the Arts Advisory Council to the Arts Council. Ms. Lindsey seconded the motion.

Mr. Seeds questioned why the one paragraph mentions the Greenway Committee. Mr. Wolfe answered that it was a typographical error. He noted that he will make the necessary correction and asks the Board to approve the Resolution with the correction that it refers to the Arts Council. Mr. Crissman noted that he accepts the correction to the Resolution. Mr. Hawk call for a voice vote and a unanimous vote followed.

Preliminary/final subdivision plan for Sertoma Estates

Mr. Wolfe noted that this is a simple subdivision plan, both preliminary and final and it proposes to subdivide 55-acre lot at 6200 Parkway East into four smaller lots. He noted that Lot#1 will remain at 48.43 acres and will be owned by ARC of Dauphin County. He noted that the three lots that will be subdivided, Lot 2, 3 and 4 will be comprised of 2.84 acres, 1.67 acres and 1.52 acres respectively and will serve as single-family dwelling lots. He noted that each lot will be served by on-lot water supply and sewage treatment facilities.

Mr. Wolfe noted that the Township's Planning Commission reviewed and approved the plan to move to the Board of Supervisors on July 1, 2015.

Mr. Wolfe noted that the plan has two waiver requests for both curb and sidewalk along Parkway East and the justification is that there is no curb or sidewalk on Parkway East, and installing a curb and sidewalk at this location would be contrary to the character of the road and area.

Mr. Wolfe noted that there is one zoning ordinance comment and ten administrative comments. Mr. Wolfe noted that Tim Mellott is representing the plan on behalf of the applicant.

Mr. Tim Mellott from Mellott Engineering noted that he had to clarify that the property will be sold to Triple Crown Corporation after the plan is approved. He noted that this was clarified during the Planning Commission meeting.

Mr. Crissman noted that the two waiver requests are supported by staff, both for obvious reasons. Mr. Wolfe answered agreed.

Mr. Crissman questioned if Mr. Mellott was authorized to speak on behalf of the petitioner. Mr. Mellott answered yes.

Mr. Crissman noted that Mr. Mellott was in agreement with the two waiver requests. He questioned if he was in agreement and can meet the zoning ordinance requirement. Mr. Mellott answered that the plan already addresses this comment.

Mr. Crissman noted that there were ten administrative comments, especially comment number ten which has Mr. Kenworthy's eight comments in his letter dated June 24, 2015, He questioned if Mr. Mellott has or will be able to complete all of the items in a timely fashion acceptable to staff. Mr. Mellott answered yes.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve the preliminary and final subdivision plan for Sertoma Estates with the following waivers and conditions: 1) Waiver of the requirement to provide sidewalk along the public street frontage; 2) Waiver of the requirement to provide curb along the public street frontage; 3) Show the required well and septic system isolation distances for all of the lots on the plan. The isolation distances that were noted to have been added to the plan with the resubmission could not be located; 4) A Zoning Permit shall be required for the plan; 5) Provide verification that the Township Sewage Enforcement Officer has approved the locations of the proposed primary and reserve on lot septic systems; 6) The applicant shall

provide a financial security estimate for the proposed lot line markers or have their installation verified prior the recording of the plan; 7) Provide evidence that either approval of the DEP Sewage Facilities Planning Module or similar documentation has been granted by DEP or that such approval is not required; 8) Upon approval, provide an electronic file of the complete plan set and any other technical plans on a compact disk (CD) in accordance with Section 180-308; 9) The applicant shall pay a fee in lieu of dedication of recreational lands; 10) The applicant shall pay all required fees prior to recording the plan; 11) Plan approval shall be subject to the establishment of an automatically renewable improvement guarantee for the proposed site improvements; 12) The applicant shall be responsible for scheduling all required inspections; and 13) Plan approval shall be subject to addressing all eight (8) comments of Andrew Kenworthy, HRG, Inc. letter dated June 24, 2015.

Mr. Hornung seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk called for a voice vote and a unanimous vote followed.

Improvement and Stormwater Guarantees

Mr. Hawk noted that there was one Improvement Guarantee.

Amber Fields, Phase VI

A new letter of credit with Mid Penn Bank, in the amount of \$181,660.56, with an expiration date of July 21, 2016.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve the improvement guarantee. Mr. Hornung seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk called for a voice vote and a unanimous vote followed.

Payment of Bills

Mr. Seeds made a motion to pay the bills of Lower Paxton Township, Lower Paxton Township Authority, Payroll checks, and the Purchase Cards for Lower Paxton Township and

the Lower Paxton Township Authority. Mr. Crissman seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk called for a voice vote, and a unanimous vote followed.

Adjournment

There being no further business, Mr. Crissman made a motion to adjourn the meeting, and the meeting adjourned at 8:37 p. m.

Respectfully submitted,

Maureen Heberle
Recording Secretary

Approved by,

William L. Hornung
Township Secretary