

LOWER PAXTON TOWNSHIP
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of Business Meeting held May 10, 2016

A workshop meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Lower Paxton Township was called to order at 6:02 p.m. by Chairman William L. Hornung, on the above date in the Lower Paxton Township Municipal Center, 425 Prince Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.

Supervisors present in addition to Mr. Hornung were: William B. Hawk; William C. Seeds, Sr., Gary A. Crissman and Robin Lindsey.

Also in attendance was George Wolfe, Township Manager; Steven Stine, Township Solicitor; Fred Lighty, W. Roy Newsome, Douglas Grove, Lori Staub, and Lisa Scheafer, Planning Commission; Public Safety Director David Spotts; Polly Murphy, Chair of 250th Township Anniversary Celebration; Doug Kepler, Linglestown Area Civic Association; and Watson Fisher, SWAN.

Pledge of Allegiance

Mr. Hawk led in the recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance.

Public Comment

No public comment was presented.

Discussion with members of the Planning Commission
regarding update of the Townships Comprehensive Plan

Mr. Hornung noted that the Planning Commission members are present to discuss the Comprehensive Plan update process. He explained that he would like to present the vision the Board has for this process and would like to discuss the changes the Board would like to see over the next few years. He noted that he would like to discuss with the Planning Commission how to proceed in the process to complete the update of the Comprehensive Plan. He noted that this discussion is at the 30,000 foot level to discuss the items the Board would like to see in order to get feedback from the Planning Commission. He requested if members of the Planning Commission see additional items it wants to add or change, the Board would like to get their input as well.

Mr. Hornung noted that the people who serve on the Planning Commission do this as volunteers. He noted that they take a lot of time out of their month to serve the Township. He noted that this is an extra meeting for the Planning Commission and the Board appreciates their attendance. He noted that it takes a lot of thought and energy to do this work and the Planning Commission is a great group. He noted that he is looking forward to working with the Planning Commission in the future.

Mr. Hornung requested Mr. Wolfe to review the Vision the Board has for revising the Comprehensive Plan.

Mr. Wolfe noted that the current Comprehensive Plan was adopted in 2003. He noted that it took well over two years to prepare and adopt a new Comprehensive Plan for the community. He noted that the plan is 13 years old and was the benchmark to adopt a new zoning ordinance, subdivision and land development ordinance, and stormwater management ordinances. He noted that a Greenway Plan and Parks and Recreation Development Plan was added to it. He noted that it has been a living document since its adoption. He noted that the PMC recommends that municipality update the Comprehensive Plan every ten years and we are well beyond that date.

Mr. Wolfe noted that the Board of Supervisors has been awarded a \$50,000 grant from the Dauphin County Local Share Grant fund to be applied towards a Comprehensive Plan update. He noted that the Planning Commission has been working for the better part of a year to update the text of the Comprehensive Plan. He noted that the Board of Supervisors has gone through a six-month visioning process and from that he will present about 70% of that as it relates to the update of the Comprehensive Plan.

Mr. Wolfe noted that the Planning Commission should be aware that the Board of Supervisors would like to incorporate several things into the process.

Mr. Wolfe noted that the Board of Supervisors would like to create a cohesive approach to the use of township facilities, including the Friendship Center, Linglestown Square, Heroes Grove and municipal park properties, including the Wolfersberger Tract where earth moving activities are occurring. He noted that the Board feels that these properties function on their own without any type of overall master plan. He noted that it is believed that the Township can capitalize on what they offer if they are offered in more of a packaged product.

Mr. Wolfe noted that the Board would like to create a place through the integration of multiple centers of community activity such as Linglestown, Paxtonia, the Friendship Center,

Shadebrook and Colonial Park Mall. He noted when the 2003 Comprehensive Plan was created, it was done under a neighborhood on steroids basis, as they had community planning units, (CPU) that divided the township up into 7 areas, having individual meetings within those CPU's, and from that the Comprehensive Plan was based, doing it from the bottom up. He noted that the individual recommendations were taken into consideration and turned into one Comprehensive Plan. He noted that Board would like to look from the top down noting that we have places within the community that we need to make sure that the people realized that they are located within the Township. He noted that the Board would like to create more of a sense of place for the Township in general as opposed to the individual units or large neighborhoods that were discussed in the 2003 effort.

Mr. Wolfe noted that there is an ability to gain economies of scale with public/private partnerships. He noted that the Board is very interested in encouraging senior housing opportunities throughout the community. He noted that there are individuals who are young seniors who want to remain in the Township in their senior years but do not necessarily want to own a single-family house. He noted that they want the opportunity to remain in the community after they have lost the ability to live in a smaller single-family home. He noted that the Board would like to encourage senior housing opportunities of all facets within the Township.

Mr. Wolfe noted that the Board would like to encourage development in the Village of Linglestown along with the existing Blue Ridge Country Club, potentially including the Jewish Home and the Elks property located at Parkway East. He noted that the Linglestown Road Corridor is currently under review by the Dauphin County Planning Commission and there could be some senior housing opportunities or some other potential use that would benefit the community. He noted that the Township's Wolfersberger Tract exists within the corridor and when it is developed as the fifth regional park, it will become the largest regional park within the Township.

Mr. Wolfe noted that the Board believes that the Township can become a regional destination community through a plan of use of its municipal facilities to attract visitors who would shop at the local businesses. He noted that many of the hotel facilities in the local area along the 1-81 and 1-83 corridor for many months in the summer are booked full for the weekends. He noted that it may be for Hershey Park or for community recreation activities. He noted that the Board believes that we can further capture those visitors into the Township by

properly developing a plan and partnerships with business community to ensure that those who spend the night also spend money at local businesses and restaurants.

Mr. Wolfe noted that the Board would like to provide for the development of business properties such as Villa Teresa and the area of Route 22 from Colonial Road to beyond Prince Street as well as the area of Route 22 commonly referred to as the "red light district."

Mr. Wolfe noted that the Board would like to find a way to encourage the use of the "Transfer of Development Right" preserving high value open space and at the same time providing developers the ability to properly profit from their development activities.

Mr. Wolfe noted that the 2003 Comprehensive Plan had a strong transportation component. He noted that the Board believes there needs to be updates with the following items. He noted that the Redtop Road Bridge needs fixed and the Township has recently obtained funding for engineering for this project. He noted that the Colonial Road corridor from the Colonial Park Mall to Devonshire Road/Crums Mill Road needs improved. He noted that the Devonshire Road corridor from Colonial Road to the area past Paxton Towne Centre needs to be studied. He noted that the area of North Mountain Road from the interstate to Blue Ridge Avenue and into Linglestown needs upgrades. He noted that the intersection of Nyes Road and Devonshire Heights Road need to be reconstructed, noting that that project has engineering funds to do this at the State level. He noted that Crums Mill Road at Linglestown Road will need to be studied for a traffic signal, and improvements to Colonial Road at McIntosh Road needs to be studied.

Mr. Wolfe noted that this is the list of items the Board wishes to study over and above just updating the text of the Comprehensive Plan. He noted that the Board would like to see these items included in the Comprehensive Plan and it is a starting point for discussion with the Planning Commission.

Mr. Hornung noted if it could be changed he would like our mailing address to be Lower Paxton Township instead of Harrisburg. He noted that we are trying to achieve a community oriented policy to keep the people in the Township. He noted that senior housing such as the Messiah Village model with all tiers of living is really needed in the Township in order for our people to continue to live in the Township as they age. He noted that the advantages to that is that seniors have very little demand on the Township's services and provide much time as volunteers. He noted that the value of having grandparents living close to their grandchildren is

huge to the future development of those children. He noted that they have no demand on the school system that will help to keep the tax base down. He noted if the Township is an attractive place to live, then we need to keep the taxes affordable.

Mr. Hornung noted that the Village of Linglestown and Shadebrook are examples of keeping people in their community and not forcing them to go out for services to reduce the amount of traffic in the area. He noted with the advent of Amazon, the retail industry is taking a hit. He noted that we have some of the highest amount of retail space in the Harrisburg area and if starts to crumble, it will occur at a fast rate. He noted the Board wants a plan in place for what would happen to that vacant retail space to keep it productive for other uses. He noted if it became a dirge and we wait for that to happen everyone will be suffering the same problem. He noted that we need to be proactive to capitalize on change to prevent this from happening. He noted that we need to embrace change and it is coming.

Mr. Hornung noted that he would like to get the Planning Commission's opinion for what was presented and ask if it has any other suggestions.

Mr. Crissman requested the Planning Commission to provide a quick update for the work that it has been doing over the past year.

Mr. Fred Lighty thanked the Board for sharing its vision. He noted that the Planning Commission has been working for almost a year, meeting once a month, discussing the Comprehensive Plan after the normal course of business. He noted that they have been updating the text and facts and figures for the current Comprehensive Plan. He noted that the visions should not be a problem and he looks forward to working with the Board on it. He explained, to save tax payer funds, he reached out to the Tri-County Regional Planning Commission and Tim Reardon has volunteered his staff to provide time. He noted that maps are a very important aspect of the Comprehensive Plan and Dauphin County GIS is working with the Dauphin County Planning Commission and they stated that they would be willing to help the Township as well. He noted that we have secured much free help to get us going. He noted that any assistance that the Township can provide to get this moving would be greatly appreciated. Ms. Lindsey thanked Mr. Lighty for getting the free resources.

Mr. Crissman noted that we need to discuss the scope for this project as it was completed over 13 years ago at a great expense. He noted that he feels that we do not need to go through that extensive process again, but he would like both groups to come to a consensus to begin to

put an action plan together. He suggested that we only need to complete a good revision and not reinvent the wheel.

Ms. Lindsey questioned what the Planning Commission's thought is on that as some members were involved in the process 13 years ago. Mr. Roy Newsome explained that he was involved in that process and it was a long and expensive process. He noted that this process is something that we can do more frugally than we did the last time. He noted with Dauphin County's help and some additional help, we don't need a large contract to get this done. He noted that we will need some assistance from time to time. He hopes that we come out with a document that is applicable to the community, which is acceptable to the people in the Township, one that will be circulated and discussed at length and people will use as a development tool.

Mr. Crissman noted that we have certain hoops that we are required to jump through, but he agrees that we need the staff support for what needs to be done. He suggested that is where the majority of the expense will go. He noted the last time the consultant did the majority of the work.

Mr. Seeds thanked the Planning Commission for its service. He questioned if anyone from the Planning Commission disagrees with the Board's vision or if you are working on something different, he would like to know. Mr. Lighty answered that we have not gotten that far in our process, but he personally has no disagreement with the vision. Mr. Seeds noted that Ms. Lindsey brought it to the Board's attention that she had clients that are forced to move out of the Township since there is no senior housing locations for them to move into. He noted that there is a need for this type of housing structure in the Township.

Mr. Seeds noted the sense of community is important and later on in the agenda there will be a discussion on the Township's 250th Sestercentennial that will be celebrated next year. He noted that there is a sense of community in Linglestown and he would like to see that established in all of our communities such as Paxtonia and Colonial Park. He noted that it has always been one of his goals. He noted that we don't want to see stores or shopping centers close; we need to keep them up to date and filled with tenants. He noted that people like the convenience of the shopping corridor along Route 22 and we need the economic growth.

Ms. Lori Staub noted for staffing, the consultant did do a lot but she was on staff at that time and staff did a lot of work also. She noted that we need the dedicated staff time to be able to

put it all together. Mr. Crissman questioned if the grant funds could be used for such purposes. Mr. Wolfe answered no, unless we segregate a staff person to only do that work. Mr. Crissman noted that we would have to budget for that.

Mr. Hornung noted that he spoke with Mr. Wolfe about this and he said that his staffs time is already heavily used and if we tried to increase staff time it would be difficult so we may have to look at putting someone on staff to do this work. He noted that we will have to work through that to see what the demand would be. Mr. Crissman noted that he does not want to saddle the existing staff, rather use outside staff and bring people in. Mr. Wolfe noted that having staff available to work on the update of the text with the Planning Commissions is one function, but the list of activities that this Board has is a different function that he is not sure we would have the ability at staff level to do. He noted that the Board is looking at the development of senior housing opportunities and that is more of a consultant type service. He noted that there are two levels of service, one that staff can assist with and one that we would not be good at. Mr. Crissman noted that this discussion is premature until we determine what we want to focus on and then we can bifurcate those issues.

Mr. Crissman noted that the Planning Commission has heard the Board's vision, and he questioned if the Planning Commission has had some of the same discussions. He suggested that there should be some overlap, but he questioned if the Planning Commission has focused on anything that the Board has not addressed that it thinks is important. Mr. Lighty answered that they have not gotten to that point yet.

Mr. Seeds noted that there is a great opportunity with the Wolfersberger Tract noting that there are no funds to develop it yet. He noted that we are moving forward with filling in the land with the fill from the sewer projects. He noted that he was told by people from Dauphin County that if we had a facility that had soccer or softball fields, creating a sports complex could bring people in from all over the state and nation. He noted that it would be good for the hotels and restaurants. He noted that we have to keep our eyes open for organizations that may have the funds to develop it.

Mr. Hawk noted that we have short-term goals that would be accomplished within one to four years and long-term goals that would take much longer, such as the Wolfersberger Tract or senior housing. He noted that we will need outside help to pull it all together. He noted that it is a process that will take time to determine what is able to be accomplished to move forward.

Mr. Newsome noted that we are very concerned that this has citizen involvement. He noted that it has to be from the bottom up and from the top down. He noted that the plan must come out of that. He noted that the Planning Commission came up with the Business Improvement District Plan for Route 22. He noted that Route 22 is the Township's main street and we need to take care of it. He noted that you mentioned areas that need to be redeveloped but if we want this to be a regional place of contact for people to come to, we need to pay attention to what our downtown looks like.

Ms. Lindsey noted, when the Board was discussing this topic, she mentioned that the Planning Commission worked for three years on the Business Improvement District Plan. She noted that it is ready to go as it already has its own budget and it would be good for the businesses, adding a monument welcoming people to the Township and having brochures as it ties into the items discussed regarding Route 22. She suggested that it could be brought forward now.

Mr. Newsome noted that the idea of the post office calling this Lower Paxton Township is a great idea. Mr. Seeds noted that many people in Linglestown use Linglestown as their address and not Harrisburg. He suggested that it has something to do with the 17112 zip code. Mr. Wolfe answered that no one officially can use Linglestown as you are getting lucky.

Mr. Ed Hine noted during the Sestercentennial Celebration in Linglestown, a member brought up the 17112 zip code. He stated that he went on [USPS.com](https://www.usps.com) and it legitimately says Linglestown. He noted if you try to use 17109 it will not work as it will kick it back to Harrisburg. He noted that it would be nice if it said Lower Paxton Township.

Mr. Hornung noted that we have a TV station that operates 24/7 and he will be working on utilizing the station to focus on our community having more community items on it. He noted that he would like to see things like PHR baseball being filmed and Holy Name football games shown on the TV station. He noted that businesses could be highlighted, especially new ones opening in the Township. He noted that we will work on the facets that will help us make the public more aware of what is going on. He noted if we have a very good community it would make PSD Sports job much better. PSD Spotts agreed. Mr. Hornung noted that the police force requirements go down when you have a strong community.

Mr. Crissman noted now that the Planning Commission has heard the Board's thoughts and concerns, he requested the Planning Commission to take it into their sessions and review it

and we will schedule another meeting to discuss this together. He suggested that we should merge the focus for the community. He noted when we know what our goal or focus will be then we will be ready to establish the model we want to use to determine to what degree we need outside consulting services. He noted that we will need to determine a budget to create this project and make it happen, and then we will be ready to implement the plan.

Mr. Hawk noted that Mr. Newsome stated that he wanted to be a part of the planning for the future of the Township and we should work together as a team. He noted that he welcomes the help from the Planning Commission to get this done.

Mr. Doug Grove noted that he was glad that the Board was interested in getting something done with Villa Teresa. He noted that it is a great building in a great location but he doesn't understand why a developer hasn't done anything with it. He noted that it has good access to anything along Union Deposit Road. He noted that it was a nursing home used by the Catholic Church years ago but he can't understand why no one has done anything with the property. Mr. Hawk noted that a remediation company came in and determined that it is loaded with asbestos and the cost to remediate the property is very expensive. He suggested that it is holding up the development.

Mr. Hornung noted that it is exciting to see that this project will need some passion on the part of the members of both groups and he was glad to see that Villa Teresa was a source of passion on Mr. Grove's part to see something gets done. He noted that anything can be rehabbed, but the question is how you make it happen. He noted if you get the community involved you can solve a lot of problems that you haven't solve before. He noted that people who are passionate about things and want to move forward are the type of people he is looking for in this process.

Ms. Scheafer noted that she has seen many communities do a Comprehensive Plan where they focused so much on the document being the end result. She noted that it is about the long-term vision for where we can take the community in the future. She noted that she is excited about this process.

Mr. Grove noted that he brought up Villa Teresa since he drives past it often. He suggested that building could be used for something. He noted if we could get community involvement in regards to this and get the Board behind this as well, we can get that property redeveloped as senior residents or converted to some other sort of living arrangement. He noted

that asbestos remediation is very expensive and the bigger the building the more expensive it gets.

Mr. Hawk noted that he drives by the building every day and it would be interesting to find out what the company found that was brought in to look at it.

Ms. Lindsey noted that it would be interesting to find out who owns it. Mr. Hawk noted that the Catholic Church does. Mr. Wolfe answered that it was sold to an LLC.

Mr. Lighty noted that Mr. Grove is an amateur astronomer so every plan that comes before the Planning Commission he reviews the lighting plans. He noted that each member has a passion for something and we appreciate the opportunity to serve.

Ms. Lindsey noted that she is glad that the Planning Commission members showed up tonight as the Board appoints the members and many times we never get to meet you. She noted that she suggested that the Boards come in to our meetings to get to meet the people that we appoint.

Mr. Crissman questioned if we can establish some dates for the Comprehensive Plan to move forward. He questioned how long it will take the Planning Commission to review the Board's vision and come back with a response. Mr. Lighty questioned how quickly the staff help will be available. Mr. Crissman noted that we will place the onerous of responsibility on Mr. Lighty to tell us when you are ready to come back and begin the process. He noted that there is a time frame to get this project completed and he would like to work backwards to develop that time line. Mr. Lighty noted that it is a standing item on our agenda.

Ms. Lindsey noted that we have asked them to come to our meeting on a different night then they meet, maybe we should take a night and come to their meeting. Mr. Crissman noted that is fine. Ms. Lindsey noted that is only fair. Mr. Crissman questioned if they would be ready by their next meeting. Ms. Staub noted that the next meeting is June 1st so it would have to be after that as it will be on their agenda for that night's meeting. Mr. Crissman noted that he would let the Planning Commission establish the date for the next meeting. He noted after that discussion we should reduce the vision to one concept that we want to embrace and then we can begin to discuss the model we want to use. He suggested that we should merge out thoughts at the next meeting.

Mr. Hornung noted that Mr. Lighty asked about staff time. He noted that he does not want the process to be interrupted since you don't have the resources that you need. He noted that

it may not have people who are on our staff now that can help and we may need to hire others to do this. Mr. Lighty noted whatever help we can get will help to speed the process. Mr. Hornung questioned if you are talking about help within the next couple weeks or months. Mr. Lighty noted that we have not determined that yet. Mr. Crissman noted after you meet you should identify your needs and get back to us. Mr. Hornung noted that he does not want to impede the process.

Mr. Newsome questioned if you have a time goal for this process. Mr. Crissman noted that is where he was trying to go in laying out these steps. Mr. Hornung suggested that the Board would like to get this done in a year. He noted that Linglestown is on the cusp of turning into a "Lititz" type environment and if we don't act quickly on this many of the properties will go in another direction and then we may not be able to turn them around and get them back to where we want to go. He noted that there are developers who want to turn that around and they may not be able to wait a year to do that.

Review of a proposal to provide school crossing guard services through All City Management Services

Public Safety Director (PSD) David Sports requested the Board to consider contracting all our school crossing guard services with All City Management Services (ACMS) commencing with the 2016-2017 school year. He noted that his memo of May 5, 2016 explains the offer from ACMS, a comprehensive price to handle all crossing guard services, not just the delivery of onsite service, but also recruitment, retention, training, supervision and workers compensation. He noted that it is a turnkey operation as they will take over everything. He noted that ACMS offers their services at a price of \$20.97 an hour and he requests the Board to consider approving this proposal. He noted that he would be happy to answer any questions the Board may have.

Ms. Lindsey questioned PSD Spotts if there is no crossing guard will we send out the police to take care of it when ACMS takes over. PSD Spotts answered when they take over, they will provide all the substitutes and the Township will have no responsibility to provide a substitute. Ms. Lindsey noted that ACMS is in California so when someone calls off at 7 in the morning our time it will be four their time. PSD Spotts explained that their corporation is located in California but they have a regional manager in the area. He noted that Swatara Township uses

their services for the Central Dauphin School District, and ACMS has provided an onsite coordinator. Ms. Lindsey noted that they have someone local.

Ms. Lindsey questioned where the orientation and training would be held. SPD Spotts answered that he does not know where it will be held, but he assumed, based upon his discussions with the school district, that it would be held at the school,

Ms. Lindsey noted that ACMS is charging \$20.97 an hour, how much of does the crossing guard get paid. PSD Spotts answered that the crossing guard rate is \$12 per hour and they will not receive a decrease in pay as they will continue to receive \$12 an hour.

Ms. Lindsey noted that the agreement stated that the price was good for 90 days. PSD Spotts explained that they understand that we are acting for the next school year as they initially wanted us to change in January, but he explained to them that it was not enough time for him to evaluate the program. He noted that the pricing is good for the 2016-2017 school year, and it was confirmed by the regional sales manager.

Ms. Lindsey questioned if Swatara Township is okay with this. PSD Spotts answered that both the school district and Swatara Township report no problems with their services.

Mr. Crissman questioned who Penbrook and Paxtang use. PSD Spotts answered that he assumed that they use their own as they do not use ACMS. Mr. Crissman noted that the only municipality within the Central Dauphin School District that uses this service is Swatara Township. PSD Spotts answered that is correct. Mr. Crissman noted that your report is that they have experienced success with the program. PSD Spotts answered correct.

Mr. Crissman questioned if a substitute is not available, the Township will be responsible to send a police officer, but he did not see anything in the contract that if we have to provide the service that ACMS will reimburse the Township. PSD Spotts explained that the contract is set up to invoice the Township on a bi-weekly basis based on the actual amount of crossings they cover. He noted if they would not make a crossing assignment, they would not bill us as we are billed after the service is rendered, not in advance. Mr. Crissman noted that we would send a police officer for which we are paying for their services. He noted that he did not read that reimbursement would be provided to us to pay for the police officers service. He noted that he would like this to be included in the contract that we would be reimbursed for the hourly rate of our personnel.

PSD Spotts questioned if ACMS does not provide the service and we would send a police officer we would not be billed for that service at that time by them. He noted that Mr. Crissman is asking that in addition to their not billing us, they pay some kind of premium back to the Township to cover our costs. Mr. Crissman noted that the police officer would be doing their regular duty so we are pulling someone from an assignment to cover that post. He noted that is a loss for us. He noted that they need to reimburse us and he would like that as a clause written in the contract. He noted that counsel could insert a phrase to cover this before we would sign it. PSD Spotts noted if that is the will of the Board he will negotiate that with ACMS as it is not part of the current proposal.

Mr. Crissman noted that only one municipality within Central Dauphin, having multiple municipalities, is using the service and PSD Spotts stated that they are satisfied with the service. PSD Spotts answered that is correct.

Mr. Seeds stated that Mr. Crissman made a good point, noting in the last two to three months as he travels Mountain Road police officers have been at the intersection of the Junior High School. He noted that we must be having a problem with our crossing guards. PSD Spotts explained with the untimely death of one guard and another one with long-term health issues, the police are covering about 15% of all crossings. He noted that ACMS would eliminate that kind of excessive long-term demand on the Police Department.

Mr. Seeds noted if ACMS doesn't have anyone available to fill in for someone who calls off sick the Township has a responsibility to provide a police officer which will cost us money. He noted that Mr. Crissman makes a good point and we should have a provision for when they have no one to cover the post that we should be reimbursed at cost.

Ms. Lindsey questioned if they have no one will they contact the Police Department in enough time to get an officer out there. PSD Spotts answered that he felt they would as they know how to contact us on a 24/7 basis through the dispatch. He noted if a request is received it would become a priority assignment in caring for the Township's children.

PSD Spotts noted that Swatara Township has indicated to him that they have had no issues. He noted that all the existing crossing guards are guaranteed employment with ACMS if they wish to transition to them. He noted that the guards we have now will likely be the guards we will see again in the fall.

Ms. Lindsey questioned why did they go to California, is that the only area that deals with this. PSD Spotts answered that ACMS represents around 200 school districts providing about 4,000 crossing guard services nationwide. He noted that they happen to be headquartered in California but market on a national basis. Ms. Lindsey questioned if they provide services in Pennsylvania. PSD Spotts answered that he did not know.

Mr. Hawk noted that the one line in the agreement states that Lower Paxton will only be filled with crossing guards services rendered on designated school dates unless otherwise requested by the Township. He noted that the key word is rendered but what if they don't render a service. PSD Spotts noted that he explained earlier that if they don't send someone out, we will not be billed. He noted that it will fall to the Police Department to cover. Mr. Hawk noted that the sentence clarifies what Mr. Crissman is bringing up.

Mr. Hornung noted what Mr. Crissman is trying to accomplish is that there is some kind of penalty for ACMS and if they don't provide service and a percentage reaches a certain percent to where they are not providing service, some kind of penalty will be imposed. He noted that he is not in total agreement with Mr. Crissman that they pay hour for hour. He noted that there should be some type of penalty in the contract that if it reaches a certain percentage that we can cancel the contract or a failure to perform penalty. He noted that he would be satisfied with something like that.

Mr. Wolfe noted that it is a very small contract and he questioned how many crossing guards we have. PSD Spotts answered that we have four guards with nine crossings on a daily basis. He explained the one post is only covered in the morning. Mr. Wolfe noted if ACMS would not provide services we should not pay for it. He noted that he would be more concerned that if ACMS is missing services, not to charge them back for our police officers, but to have the ability to cancel the service and do it in a short period of time.

Mr. Hawk noted that it is a one-year contract and if they call off too many times you don't have to renew the contract. Ms. Lindsey noted that there has to be something in the contract that if we are not happy with their services that we can cancel within 90 days or something like that.

PSD Spotts noted that he understands what the Board has said and if you give him clear guidance he will go back for further negotiations. He noted that you have mentioned a penalty, a

cost for us if they miss a certain percentage that we can cancel the contract. He noted that the crossing guard with health problems indicated that he may retire and not come back.

Mr. Seeds noted that the school district is responsible for 50% of the costs correct. PSD Spotts answered yes. Mr. Seeds noted that they have to agree to this as well. PSD Spotts answered that they have already agreed to it. He noted that it simplifies their process since Swatara Township uses it. Mr. Seeds noted that we have more since we would have to back them up if they don't show. PSD Spotts noted that each municipality has the obligation for an established post to make sure that it is covered.

Mr. Crissman noted that ACMS is very safe because if they can't provide the services they know someone will provide it to the children and that is what this is all about, the health and safety of our children.

Mr. Crissman noted that he is willing to live with a reimbursement or after x number of no shows that we have the ability to cancel the contract as we will have to provide the services with our police officers. He noted that he wants a penalty clause written into the contract and he does not care which way it is. He stated that he hopes the school district embraces the addendum. PSD Spotts suggested that he will not have any issues with the school district but now he had guidance for what to do. He noted that he will bring this back to the Board once he knows what is occurring as we still have time to get this done.

Mr. Hornung noted that he drives through the area along Mountain Road where the crossing guards are posted. He noted that there is a marked difference with traffic control for when a crossing guard is working or police officer has the post. He noted that the crossing guards work in all weather directing traffic and he is not criticizing them, but he noticed the difference in the ability of the police officers to move more traffic through from what occurs with the crossing guard. He questioned if there is any training that they go through. He noted once you stop traffic it takes a while to get it going again and there might be other ways to facilitate more traffic through the corridor. He noted that sometimes it is backed up to the area of the Square. PSD Spotts noted that we provide annual training to all crossing guards and ACMS would also do the same but one issue is that the authority of a crossing guard to control traffic and a police officer is much different. He noted that the police officer has more authority to move traffic for the flow of vehicular traffic and the school crossing guard can only do it for the safety of the children. He noted that police officers have more legal options available to move traffic at a

quicker pace. Mr. Crissman questioned who would you prefer to have around when you child is boarding a bus, the police officer or crossing guard. PSD Spotts noted that it would depend on who the crossing guard is. He noted that it is a vital service and he does not want to underscore the seriousness of the protective safety of our children. Mr. Crissman noted that is why he wants to send a message to ACMS to let them know and understand that our children are extremely important to us and we will not jeopardized them in any shape or form. PSD Spotts noted that he will make that message clear.

Presentation by Polly Murphy, Chair if the
Township's 250th Anniversary Celebration Committee

Mr. Hornung wanted to thank Ms. Murphy for accepting the role as chair for the committee. Ms. Murphy noted that she is looking forward to this as next year is Lower Paxton Township's 250th Anniversary and we are really excited. She noted that many of the Committee members are present but she is also looking for more members, citizens of Lower Paxton Township. She noted that some of the members worked on the Linglestown Sestercentennial Committee and it turned out wonderful.

Ms. Murphy noted that she met with Mr. Wolfe and she hopes to have the event on October 14, 2017 at George Park. She noted that they would like to start the one day event with a parade, having a fun day for families with music, food, events and end with fireworks. She noted that the Committee has not met yet to discuss the plans but it will be meeting soon.

Ms. Murphy noted that she would like to introduce the members of the Committee to the Board at this time. Ms. Murphy noted that John Hanks will be the Operations Chair and Chris Lunden who could not be with us tonight will be assisting him. She noted that Denise Deimler will be in charge of events; Donny Goss will run the merchandise; Kathie Gifford will be in charge of food; Ed Hine will be in charge of the parade; and Sherrie Davis and Connie Herman she has just met this evening. She noted that Jay Purdy who could not attend the meeting will also be assisting. She noted that she is looking for more members.

Ms. Lindsey thanked those persons on the Committee for volunteering.

Mr. Hornung noted that you are a big part of the vision process that he was talking about earlier.

Ms. Murphy noted that people in the area are very community minded and we found that in the Village project.

Ms. Lindsey explained that if the Board can provide help to feel free to contact us.

Mr. Crissman thanked those for serving and noted that they have a great team leader.

Mr. Goss noted that he is working on mugs at this time as a possible merchandise.

Mr. Hornung noted that he stopped at a pizza place in Marysville where they are celebrating their Sestercentennial and they are selling a 22 caliber gun. He noted that it is very annoying as they never have any to sell as the gun collectors are buying them up like crazy and it's been a headache. Ms. Murphy noted that the Lower Paxton Pioneer has a gun in his hand.

Ms. Murphy noted once you pass our budget we will be ready to go.

Mr. Crissman questioned if we need to take official action on their budget tonight. Mr. Wolfe answered that you can't act on the budget tonight as it has to be part of the 2017 budget but you will have to act on the appointments to the Committee and he will put that in a packet for a future meeting.

Action on agreement with residents of the Pine Hollow Court
development in regard to land development activities at the
Dauphin County ID A/Township Public Works site

Ms. Robin Lindsey read a statement recusing herself from this agenda item. Mr. Hornung accepted that statement noting that the statement will be made a part of the minutes.

Mr. Stine explained, before the Board is an agreement with the Pine Hollow Residents, Dauphin County Industrial Development Authority (IDA), and the Township with regard to certain construction activities for the facilities that will be constructed at the Public Works site and also the proposed Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) office. He noted that the parties to this agreement have met for a long time in an effort to resolve the matter to everyone's general satisfaction. He noted that much time and effort was spent and he is please to inform the Board that we now have a final agreement to which all the parties are in agreement. He noted that the residents from Pine Hollow have signed the document but the IDA has not met yet to consider this agreement so the Township is next in line to sign. He noted that the agreement is ready for approval by the Board and he would be happy to answer any questions the Board may have.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve the agreement with the residents of Pine Hollow Court Development that was discussed by counsel. Mr. Hawk seconded the motion. Mr. Hornung called for a voice vote and a unanimous vote followed.

Mr. Crissman noted that we need for this to be formalized when the last party signs the

agreement. Mr. Stine answered that is correct.

Request from Douglas Kepler to develop special sidewalk
maintenance provisions for the Village of Linglestown

Mr. Doug Kepler noted that he is here representing himself and no one else. He noted that five years ago the roundabout and improvements were made to the sidewalks in Linglestown and it still looks fantastic. He noted that it has done a lot for the Village. He explained, about 50 years ago he was a resident of Linglestown, and as a small boy he rode his bike on the sidewalks that were made out of brick. He noted that the bricks were everywhere and he questioned how everyone in the Village could let it go the way it did. He noted that it remained that way up until 2011 when they redesigned the Village of Linglestown to include the new sidewalks. He noted that it has been pointed out to him by several people who live in the Village that the sidewalks are starting to show the evidence of pitting due to the winter and salting conditions. He noted that he wanted to find out what we can do to slow down that process that will continue. He noted that salt is very aggressive on concrete.

Mr. Kepler explained that there is one section at Mr. Carl's house that doesn't seem to be affected by the salt. He noted that Mr. Carl sprayed a concrete sealant on his sidewalk using about two gallons and putting two coats on it. He noted if you look at that sidewalk it looks pristine and has retain the original rich color.

Mr. Kepler questioned Mr. Stine if the Township has the ability to suggest to the land owners that own the property what remedies they may want to implement on their own or encourage to do so for their sidewalks. He noted that there is an ordinance that requires people to clear the snow. He noted that he has researched what material to use to preserve the sidewalks and Penny Supply informed him that they have a product called Huntsman, Davis Colors, W-1000 that is water based. He noted that two and a half gallons that will cover 750 square feet for about \$110. He noted the average width of a sidewalk is six feet you can do almost 50 yards, more than a half a football field. He noted that he is not suggesting any particular remedy for this to put a hardship on the landowners. He noted that some are absentee land owners and they do not live it the area. He suggested that you can't get out of the grocery store for \$ 110 and if you are looking to preserve the sidewalks for a long time, \$110 is not a lot of money.

Mr. Kepler noted that we have the landowner's names and addresses and if we could send a letter of encouragement suggesting that they could play a strong hand in preserving sidewalks

for the price of \$ 110 doing it about every five years. He noted that the product is working for Mr. Carl's sidewalk. He noted that it is a remedy to an observation made by himself and others living in the Village. He questioned what could be done.

Mr. Stine answered that you can always suggest but the ordinance does not require that anyone seal their sidewalk; however, property owners that have sidewalks in front of their residences or business are required to maintain the sidewalk. He noted if it falls into a state of disrepair they can be required by the Township to replace it, so it would be in their best interest to do things simple like you suggested to keep it from going into a state of disrepair thereby not having to outlay a large amount of funds to replace the sidewalk.

Mr. Seeds noted that he lives in Linglestown and he also has rental properties in the Village as well. He noted that he has over 600 linear feet in sidewalk but that he does not use salt on his sidewalks as he uses another product. He noted that his biggest problem has been the trucks that drive over the curb and have busted up the walks in front of his properties. He noted that he has filled those cracks in with a compound to preserve the walks but it is an ongoing project. He noted that he observed Harold Carl's sidewalk and looked at it. He noted that he has used Thompsons Water Sealant for years on benches and it will help. He noted that it could be a suggestion as Mr. Stine mentioned, that we could do that. He explained that it would be expensive for him to do over 600 linear feet of sidewalk as they have the extra sidewalk around the clock. He noted that he is more concerned with the damage done by trucks to the walks. He noted, by law, he and his wife are responsible to replace the walks which is not fair to the property owner when it is done by traffic. He explained that is why we will talk about cameras later in the agenda because of the damage that is done in the Square area. He noted that it is a good suggestion and it does help. He suggested that Mr. Hornung might understand this more than anyone since he has a chemical engineering degree.

Mr. Hornung noted that salt is bad for concrete and a sealant helps a lot but the salt will also attack the sealant and it would probably last about five years at best before needing a new application. He noted that he would hate to see those sidewalks get in disrepair. He questioned if the Township has the ability to do maintenance on those sidewalks. Mr. Stine answered that all sidewalks are normally in the Township right of way but the ordinance assigns the property owner the responsibility of maintaining it. Mr. Hornung noted if we wanted to do something we

would have to change the ordinance first. Mr. Stine' noted that it is the Township's property so could you maintain it, but the ordinance assigns the obligation to the property owner.

Mr. Seeds noted that the Township has sidewalks and you see the deterioration from the salt and even if you don't put salt on the sidewalk it comes off the road from the trucks and plowing. He noted if we sprayed the sidewalks in Linglestown we could be requested to spray sidewalks throughout the Township.

Mr. Hornung noted that there is one difference. He noted that the sidewalks in that area are stamped concrete. He noted that it is much more sensitive to salt than regular concrete. He noted that you have some exceptional reasons and it is very expensive to repair. He noted that you could say that it is different.

Mr. Crissman questioned what would happen if he decides to put stamped concrete in front of his home. He questioned if the Township would provide him the exception that you will take care of my walk. Mr. Hornung noted if you put stamped concrete or any resident puts stamped concrete in front of their home he personally will come out and seal it.

Ms. Lindsey questioned when they put the stamped concrete in, were they aware of the maintenance. Mr. Wolfe noted that it was an item that was discussed and it was common knowledge that it was more expensive to put in and it would be more expensive to maintain, but it is what the community chose. Mr. Seeds noted that originally it was supposed to be brick but due to the costs it was cut back to concrete to make it look like bricks.

Mr. Hornung noted that he will sell to anyone in Linglestown the sealant at his cost.

Mr. Crissman noted that Mr. Kepler provided the information to the Board so we could alert the citizens that if you have stamped concrete, knowing that it doesn't hold up as well, you can purchase a product that will help to preserve the sidewalk which will save you money in the end.

Mr. Crissman noted that people do not replace their sidewalks and we don't force people to repair them. He noted that they let it go and it deteriorates and we end up with an ugly situation.

Mr. Hornung noted that it gets back to the visioning process that if we want to make Linglestown a showplace for people to come visit we better have nice sidewalks for them to walk on. He noted if the Township decides it doesn't want to do anything about this then he would contribute his products at cost to encourage anyone to do it. He noted that he sells products less

than \$100 at roughly \$10 to \$15 a gallon. He noted that it may not do as good a job but it will last two or three years. Mr. Seeds noted that his experience is that he puts Thompson Water Seal on things in the fall. Mr. Hornung noted that is the cheapest product that you can buy. He noted that it is a yearly product and they normally tell you that a rule of thumb is for every \$ 10 you buy you get another year of life. He noted if you are in the \$30 range you will get three years of life out of it.

Mr. Seeds noted if we could find a contractor who will spray with a big container he would pay for his share of the walks. He noted for him to put the product in the spray can and do it would be very expensive. He noted if we had enough people in the Village who were willing to do it we could get a contractor to do it. Mr. Crissman noted that it is similar to doing blacktop on driveways, sharing the overall cost with a few neighbors.

Mr. Seeds noted that we have problems with getting people to mow their grass let alone do that.

Mr. Kepler noted that Mr. Wolfe had mentioned if we implemented a letter of encouragement how would we know which homeowner actually treated their sidewalk. He noted that hearing Mr. Hornung's offer, representing himself on this item for the agenda, he could approach the Linglestown Area Civic Association (LACA) to facilitate the applications. He noted if the homeowner wanted to buy the product at cost from Mr. Hornung he could maybe get the labor to apply the sealant and then we would know which properties have been sealed and which ones have not been. He noted that he did not know how to approach those sidewalks that have not been treated but once we decided how to approach this he could send out a letter of information and encouragement to the land owners.

Mr. Seeds noted that LACA could contact various contractors in the area to get a price per linear foot and determine how many people would be interested in doing this. Mr. Crissman noted that LACA could take on that responsibility as it would be a good project. Mr. Kepler noted that we would contact a contractor to find out who would offer the best price and determine what land owners would want to participate in the co-op effort.

Mr. Crissman noted that you would want to find out what product they are using based upon Mr. Hornung's assessment. Mr. Kepler noted that we would work with Mr. Hornung.

Mr. Hornung noted that the labor to apply it should not be much as it goes down fast. Mr. Kepler noted that a backpack sprayer would work quickly.

Mr. Hornung noted that he is always amazed at how so many people come together to try to volunteer their time noting that the amount of volunteerism we have has to be thousands of hours that are donated each year to the Township in the parks, fire fighters, ambulance personnel, police etc. He noted that we have many committees as well.

Discussion regarding potential issues and benefits associated with video surveillance of high value Township properties and facilities

Mr. Wolfe noted at the direction of the Board of Supervisors, he scheduled the discussion of potential issues and benefits associated with video surveillance of high value Township properties and facilities for the workshop meeting. He noted that it was suggested by Mr. Seeds that it might be a good idea to have video surveillance for the Linglestown Square and/or the Rotary Clock hi the square. He explained that he gathered information from the vendors at the recent PSATS Education Convention and Mr. Seeds has also provided the names of two other vendors who provide this service.

Mr. Wolfe noted before the Board proceeds with the installation of the requested equipment, he believe that it needs to consider the following items:

- Video surveillance is only legal in locations where there is no "reasonable expectation of privacy."
- What are goals of LPT video surveillance: 1) traffic safety and/or 2) protection of property? He noted that it would affect the number of cameras in any location.
- Should the Township have a video surveillance policy in place before it embarks on surveilling its public properties?
- If video surveillance is installed at the Linglestown Square, a practice has been established. Per this practice, should surveillance also be installed at Heroes Grove, outside the Friendship Center, at the Public Works refueling station, at any of our park pavilions, etc.? Park pavilions probably cost more than the Rotary Clock and are secluded facilities that are more susceptible to vandalism and/or more prone to illegal activities.
- Surveillance will not prevent motor vehicle damage or vandalism to public facilities, it will just give us a picture of what has occurred, albeit providing additional

information that may help identify the perpetrator. He noted that citations will not be issued as it would have to be handled privately.

- The Township possesses insurance for property damage.
- There will be a cost for surveillance. Will the benefit of surveillance outweigh its cost after it is underwritten by recovery from insurance? He noted that there will be a cost to provide a Wi-Fi signal back to the Township building.

Ms. Lindsey questioned how much damage we had at our parks or any of the Township-owned buildings. Mr. Wolfe answered that we do have damage at Township park facilities with the most significant damage being to a gas meter at the Linglestown Public Works garage. He noted that it was hit by someone and provided for a significant gas outage in the area for the afternoon and a significant response by UGI. He noted that the restrooms are probably vandalized on a monthly basis. Ms. Lindsey questioned if you could mount a camera inside the restrooms. Mr.

Wolfe answered no. Ms. Lindsey noted that you would not see who is doing the damage in the parks.

Mr. Wolfe noted that a high value item such as a fountain provides for vandalism, but he thinks the deductible for property damage is \$500. He noted that we are eating the smaller items that are damaged but we do get minor damage to park facilities on a regular basis. He noted that he does not recall any recent damage to a Township building.

Mr. Seeds questioned if we would lessen our costs of the insurance if we had cameras. Mr. Wolfe answered that he did not think it would lower the costs as we are in a very competitive insurance market and the costs to insure all of Lower Paxton Township property, automobile, liability is under \$150,000 a year. He noted that we have been under that amount for the past five to six years and we have very favorable claims history. He suggested that we would only receive a token discount.

Mr. Seeds noted that Mr. Kepler, who is a member of LACA, brought this up because we are all concerned about the clock and the possibility of vandalism. He noted that the damage to the roundabout necessitates members of the community fixing and maintaining it from damage done to trucks that are illegally entering the roundabout. He noted that they drive in the mulch area, have broken lights, damage the water system, and take out signs.

Mr. Wolfe explained that if you catch the perpetrator you cannot use the camera to have the police issue citations. He noted you have to identify the party that did it and file a civil suit. He noted that it is a different process, and for an over-the-road truck you may be looking at someone who is not in the State anymore. He noted that you can't issue a citation for damage or a ticket. He noted that it would be civil proceeding for damage to the property.

Mr. Seeds questioned if you get the numbers, the license plate off the truck... Mr. Wolfe noted that you would have to file suit... Mr. Seeds questioned if you could give the trucking company a citation. Mr. Stine noted that you need to identify the driver.

Mr. Hawk noted that he is looking at three major areas: a secluded area where there is a relatively high potential for property damage; yes, we need a policy; and what is the cost to do it.

Ms. Lindsey noted if you put a camera in the parks, you will not be able to view the entire park, so damage could occur where you don't have the cameras.

Mr. Wolfe for the Square in Linglestown you would need at least three cameras to properly cover the traffic in the square and the clock. Mr. Crissman noted if we are talking \$3,000 per camera it would be \$9,000 as opposed to what we are recovering through the \$500 deductible for insurance. He questioned if the cost is worth the expenditure against what we already have in our liability insurance. He noted if we develop a policy then we have to establish the priorities for where you will place the cameras based upon the amount of funds in the budget. He noted that there are many unanswered questions.

Mr. Wolfe noted in regard to Mr. Seeds' concerns, the traffic issue in the Square and damage to it from vehicles, if the surveillance equipment could be used for traffic enforcement at that location and a citation issued to the driver, that would be a far more effective way to discourage truck traffic in the Square as word of that would get out, but he did not believe that was possible. Mr. Stine explained that some states do enforcement by video surveillance, such as Maryland. He noted that the cameras have to be specially mounted for traffic light so when they drive through the light, the camera snaps the picture from the front, side, and rear windows. He noted that provides an identity for who is driving the vehicle but we would not have that kind of set up at the Square to be able to identify the driver. He noted in Maryland it is not a summary offense citation, it is a civil citation. He noted that it is not a traffic violation. He noted that they will send a penalty to the driver. He explained that there may be some cameras in Pennsylvania, but it is not a citation system.

Mr. Doug Kepler noted that one of the reasons he asked that this be placed on the agenda is because he brought it to Mr. Wolfe's attention. He noted that he was under the assumption that proposals were submitted, Mind Burn being the one that is located in the Square in Linglestown. He noted that they are located in an ideal location to monitor the Square and they are one of the leading providers of video surveillance in the area. He noted that Mr. and Mrs. Bill Seeds own property at that location and have suggested that the cameras be put on a post on their porch. He noted that he understands that there would be a need for a policy for the Township but he was looking for discussion that would follow this. He noted that he is more interested in the number of signs that the Township must replace in the Square, noting that the clock is important to everyone, but that is not his immediate goal. He noted his goal is to stop the signs that are constantly being replaced by the insurance company on an ongoing basis. He questioned what it costs to install a sign. He noted that Mind Burn submitted a bid along with others but they are in a unique situation that would provide the Township with a very competitive deal. He noted that they would monitor it out of their own headquarters and we would only have to access the data when there is property damage. He noted that he owned three tractor trailers and each one had a unique ID number on the cab. He noted that it is not associated with the driver rather the owner of the rig. He questioned if the fine could be issued to the person or company who owns the tractors to show them the damage that was done, and they would not be able to contest it. Mr. Wolfe answered that that he knows of no ability to issue a fine outside the vehicle code. Mr. Stine noted that it would be a civil penalty brought before the Magisterial Judge. Mr. Kepler noted that the owner of the equipment that causes damage could determine how they would handle their driver noting that their equipment damaged Lower Paxton Township property.

Mr. Greg Hare, 5902 Pine Hollow Court noted that he spent many years with the Capital Area Soccer Association (CASA), managing their snack bar for about 11 years. He noted that they were vandalized two different times and all he did was to hang a sign stating that the property was under surveillance above the door. He noted that he has been away from it for about two or three years and does not know if anyone has broken into the stand. He noted that his recommendation for the parks would be to get the baseball and soccer associations involved and ask them to help incur some of those costs. He noted if he was still on the Board for CASA he would have approved covering the costs for a camera to monitor parks. He noted that there is vandalism in the parks, and suggested that they would love to see the monitoring of their fields.

He noted that he did get a citation as a result of all his traveling with CASA in Maryland using his license plate number, although you couldn't make out that it was him in the picture resulting in a \$35 fine for running a red light.

Mr. Hawk noted that he likes the idea of the sign above the door. Mr. Hare noted that there is something on top of the school nearby, so the kids might think that it was a camera.

Mr. Hawk questioned how many stores have video camera and if you asked the owner you would find that they are not hooked up but they use it is a deterrent.

Mr. Hornung explained that he has a camera in his retail establishment, however, the problem is if someone steals something you could be looking through 24 to 48 hours of video until you find what you are looking for. He noted that he does not know if the camera deters people from stealing as he has never seen a study that provided that kind of information. He noted that he has used the cameras in the past to catch some criminal and over the course of 30 years it was limited to four or five times they actually helped in catching someone. He noted that it is great to have cameras to provide a security blanket but when it comes down to actually searching through the video to find that one incident you are looking for, it is difficult. He noted that the police have used his films, but for the public, we would have to back it up with someone and who would monitor the cameras and review the footage to find the incident. He noted that he even installed cameras that only record when they sense movement trying to help keep the footage down, but in areas where there is a lot of traffic in his store they are running all the time as they are always seeing activity. He noted that he is not against cameras but you need to add staff, who will watch it, go through it, and review it when you have an incident. He noted that you have to be able to act on what you find and you may find that the cameras are not located in the correct spot to catch everything that is going on. He explained that people wear garb to conceal their identity in front of cameras, and if it is at night, you need good infrared cameras to pick up the information that you need. He noted that there are many factors to consider.

Mr. Hawk noted that he had an Art and Framing store for 22 years and he can't remember anyone ever walking off with a piece of art.

Mr. Kepler noted when a sign is run over, you would realize the next morning that someone hit it the day before. He explained that he has a camera at the back of his home and once the sun goes down they light up. He noted that you have a lot of light in the Square but we would only have to ask Mind Burn to look at the footage for the last eight hours if it occurred

that night. He noted that identifying a person's image or face is difficult but the truck identification number would be easy to pick out. He noted that he is more interested in the Square, to get it under way, and if there are other places a camera could be used, you could use the Square as a prototype.

Mr. Kepler noted if it costs more than the sign replacement then don't do it. Mr. Hornung questioned how much it costs to replace a sign. Mr. Wolfe answered that the going rate for a new sign to be installed by a developer is \$300. Mr. Hornung questioned how often we replace signs in the Square. Mr. Wolfe answered about two times a month, but sometimes we are able to reuse the sign or the post. He suggested that you have \$3,000 in replacing signage in the Square and that would include the labor.

Mr. Seeds noted that Mind Burn provided Mr. Wolfe an estimate for this service but he thought they were going to pipe the video into the Police Department. Mr. Kepler noted when he met with them they stated they would review the footage if needed. He noted if we can't issue a fine or get property restitution from a trucking company then it is a dead issue. He noted that he was interested in recovering costs. He noted once the trucking companies are charged, the word would get out. He explained that it is illegal for a tractor trailer to come through the Square.

Ms. Lindsey questioned if it would be helpful if they installed signage before you enter the Village. Mr. Kepler answered that there are signs up at Houcks Construction which is 3.5 miles from the Square but this really is a state issue. He noted that another sign needs to be placed at Route 39 at Piketown Road. He noted that most of the truckers are trying to get to 1-81. He noted if you don't see the tiny sign that you are not permitted the next ten miles, they have missed it, especially non-English speaking drivers. He noted that larger signs need to be placed at Piketown Road to have them turn left there to go to 1-81. Mr. Wolfe noted that the Township has asked for that sign but we haven't gotten it. Mr. Seeds questioned if it is PennDOT's responsibility. Mr. Wolfe answered yes as it would be in West Hanover Township.

Mr. Seeds noted that Officer McCurdy would sit in that location over the summer months and pull trucks over. Mr. Hornung noted that helps. Mr. Seeds noted that the word gets out, but you have to consider the costs of having a police officer sit at that location watching for violators.

Ms. Lindsey questioned Mr. Kepler if the trucks are coming west on Linglestown Road that are doing the damage. Mr. Kepler answered yes. He noted that they make a hard left and kill

the sign, lights, flowers and the watering system in the roundabout. Ms. Lindsey questioned if we could contact PennDOT again to say we need additional signage to prevent the truckers from coming into the Square at Piketown Road.

Ms. Lindsey questioned if it is mainly the tractor trailers that are doing the damage. Mr. Kepler noted that it is only those trucks. Mr. Hornung explained that he saw one set of tracks that it is a wonder that they did not hit the flagpole as they went straight over the inner circle and never tried to turn. Mr. Kepler noted you can make the U-turn if you know to drive a tractor trailer as there is enough room to do it. He noted that the trailer back tires is what is doing the damage. Mr. Seeds noted a couple a weeks ago a truck took out a sign on south west corner at the beauty salon.

Mr. Kepler noted that Linglestown Square is a unique situation and we have a professional at that location who he was told would monitor the area from within their business. He noted that we have a good location but all we need is one camera at the barber shop location to get the number from the tractors. He noted if we can't track them down to get restitution for damage then it is worthless.

Mr. Crissman noted that we should address the issue with PennDOT about the truck traffic in a formal letter from the Board of Supervisors indicating that there is additional truck traffic coming from the west through the Village doing damage to the community and a request for larger signage in the past has not been acted upon. He noted that we should ask for a status report from PennDOT for this request. He suggested that we could get PennDOT to assist us to help rectify the problem.

Ms. Lindsey noted once the sign is installed, we could monitor to see if it has stopped the damage. She questioned if it would only say no trucks or something else. Mr. Wolfe noted that it would be up to PennDOT for what they would put on the sign.

Mr. Hornung requested PSD Spotts to spend more time in that area as well. He suggested that it had an impact on the situation. He noted if you put police in an area for a while it has a great impact and it lasts for a while, but eventually will die off. He noted that there are studies that show at what point they need to return providing enforcement so that it provides for continuous enforcement.

Mr. Seeds noted that it costs man-hours. Mr. Hornung noted that they are being paid to work so it is not an additional cost to do this. Mr. Seeds noted that they leave that post to take other calls.

Ms. Lindsey suggested that the letter should be written and then we will see what their response is.

Discussion regarding two resolutions proposed by the Capital Area Council of Governments (redistricting/reapportionment and Interstate medians)

Mr. Wolfe noted that the CAPCOG is asking its member municipalities adopt these two resolutions. He questioned if the Board believes that there should be legislative redistricting and congressional reapportionment by an independent citizen's redistricting commission. He questioned if the Board believes that grass medians on interstate highways should have a barrier to prevent vehicles from crossing from one side to the other. He noted if the Board is interested in acting on either of these two resolutions, he will place them on the agenda for the next business meeting.

Mr. Seeds noted that he is in favor of both. Ms. Lindsey agreed.

Mr. Hawk noted that the resolution concerning the barriers, the state is very good at giving Township's unfunded mandates and this is sort of giving it back to them to provide an unfunded mandate to the State.

Mr. Seeds noted that this is a result of an accident where a young woman was killed in Cumberland County. He noted that a guiderail could have prevented that from occurring. Ms. Lindsey suggested that anything would help as you have instances of many accidents where vehicles have crossed the median. Mr. Crissman noted that they will question if they can afford to do it but they should budget for it. He noted that it is an excellent idea.

Mr. Hornung questioned what they are talking about for the redistricting. Mr. Crissman noted that this has to do with gerrymandering. Mr. Hawk noted if you look at the 11th District, Congressman Lou Barletta's district runs from Cambria County to Dauphin and Cumberland County, one of the largest districts in Pennsylvania. He suggested that it is time we take a look at it. Mr. Seeds noted that it would take the redistricting out of the hands of politicians and have the public do it. Mr. Crissman noted the politicians created the problem.

Mr. Hornung noted that he is very suspicious of government doing this. Mr. Seeds noted that this is a discussion for the House and Senate.

Mr. Crissman noted Eldridge Gerry from Massachusetts, a signer of the Declaration of Independence was given credit for gerrymandering to get politicians to organize and structure their districts in their favor.

Mr. Hornung noted that he would be in favor of the resolution.

Mr. Hawk noted that our Senate district includes West Hanover Township, Lower Paxton Township, Susquehanna Township, City of Harrisburg and Perry County.

Mr. Hornung questioned if it would get better under a new process. Mr. Seeds suggested that it can't get worse. Mr. Hawk noted that he hopes so but you never know as there will always be special interests trying to lobby.

Mr. Seeds noted that he is in favor of both resolutions and we should have Mr. Wolfe prepare the resolutions for a future meeting.

Discussion of PA Law 72 P.S. S5511.7 as it
related to the payment of real estate taxes

Mr. Wolfe noted recently, the Board entertained four requests for forgiveness of real estate tax penalties from property owners who did not pay their real estate taxes on time. He noted in all four cases the property owners had no problem paying the real estate tax and they all admitted that the taxes were paid delinquent but for reasons that weren't their own they were requesting the forgiveness of penalties that were assessed by the real estate tax collector.

Mr. Wolfe noted that recently the Board received information regarding the Pennsylvania Tax Collection Law that says: "Failure to receive a tax notice does not relieve a taxpayer from the responsibility of paying taxes. Nor does it relieve the taxpayer of following the discount and penalty dates as if the notice had been received on a timely basis."

Mr. Wolfe noted that the Board wanted to discuss this in public session to set some sort of standard or policy in regard to this situation.

Ms. Lindsey noted that she brought this up. She explained that last year the Board received several requests and the Board voted to force the people to pay the penalties. She noted this year the Board received requests and although she voted nay, the Board approved that they did not have to pay the penalty. She noted that the Board is not consistent for what it is doing. She noted that the sign is hanging in the Tax Collector's office by the Central Dauphin School District. She noted that it is a law and citizens need to be responsible to pay their taxes on time. She noted that everyone knows that they should receive taxes and if they don't receive them they

need to find out why. She noted that we need to discuss this as we are not consistent on the penalty.

Mr. Crissman noted that he supports the law. Mr. Hawk answered that he does as well. Mr. Crissman noted in every law there can always be exceptions if the circumstances warrant. He noted that normally he would subscribe to the law and it needs to be implemented. Mr. Hawk noted that there are exceptions.

Mr. Seeds noted that there are extenuating circumstances. He suggested that the last group convinced us more than the other people did.

Mr. Crissman noted that Ms. Lindsey makes a good point that what is good for the goose is good for the gander.

Ms. Lindsey noted what happened a couple weeks ago, if people come in next year and make a request and they know that the Board approved the four requests, we could have many people come in next year making the same request. She noted that the Board needs to be more consistent. She noted that we don't know their past tax history and if they have paid their taxes in a timely manner in the past. She noted that we needed to discuss this due to our past history.

Mr. Crissman noted that sometimes it is better to get half a loaf than no loaf at all. Mr. Hawk noted that you know when you taxes are to be paid. He questioned why we should allow people to hide behind the excuse that they didn't get the bill as that is easy to say.

Mr. Seeds noted that his last bill from Comcast was changed to electronic billing. He explained that he did not ask for the change and he did not check his email and he went to use his internet one day and it wasn't working. He noted that when he called Comcast he was told that he owed a certain amount of money and he told them that he never requested the change. He noted that they wanted him to pay a penalty as he had to pay his bill over the phone but he refused to pay the penalty as somehow they got information to switch it to an electronic bill payment and he didn't ask for it. He noted that they finally said that he didn't have to pay the penalty. He questioned if that could relate to the tax situation. He noted that many people pay their taxes through their mortgage. Mr. Stine suggested that there is no electronic payment for taxes. He noted that you get a notice in the mail.

Ms. Lindsey noted that homeowners know that they will be getting a tax bill in February and July. Mr. Stine agreed. He noted that it is similar to a sewer bill. Ms. Lindsey noted that you know that you will receive a sewer bill four times a year.

Ms. Lindsey asked that the Board be more consistent in making these decisions. Mr. Hornung agreed and he thanked her for the reminder. He suggested when we get the next request that a reminder of the law be attached to the Board's packet.

"Otta Know" - PA Act 10 of 2016, expanding the
Permitted investments for local governments

Mr. Wolfe noted that the Pennsylvania Act 10 of 2016 will be effective on May 24, 2016. He provided information from the Pennsylvania Local Government Investment Trust (PLGIT) of which the Township is a member as it has some funds invested in this program. He noted that PLGIT provided the Township with information on the newly approved investment vehicles that will now be available to local governments. He noted that Townships will now be allowed to invest in high grade commercial paper investments. He noted that the Township will have the ability in the future to invest its assets, affecting the Sewer Authority more than the Township, in higher interest earning vehicles than what we currently invest in which is earning only less than a percent at this time. He noted as more information becomes available from PLGIT he will be happy to provide it to the Board.

Mr. Crissman questioned if the agencies we now use for investments are approved as recommended by state officials. Mr. Wolfe answered that n

othing has happened yet. Mr. Crissman noted that many pension funds were placing investments several years ago and school districts lost a lot of money. Mr. Wolfe noted that this is no way near a pension investment, as the pensions can be invested in anything the Committee wants. He noted that it goes no way near that. He noted that it is one little step towards something that would be ultraconservative. Mr. Stine explained that it has nothing to do with swaps.

Mr. Crissman questioned if we are allowed to do arbitrage. Mr. Wolfe noted if the interest rates would ever get high enough we could, but that is not happening. He noted that we would have to have interest rates in excess of 3.5% and 4% and we are not seeing that. He noted that this change could get us into the 2% or 2.5% range.

Stormwater Improvement Guarantee

Hornungs Family Home Center. Inc.

A letter of credit with M&T Bank in the amount of \$20,332.40 with an expiration date of May 10, 2017.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve the one stormwater guarantee. Mr. Hawk seconded the motion. Mr. Hornung recused himself from the vote. Mr. Crissman called for a voice vote and a unanimous four vote followed.

Announcements

Mr. Hornung noted that prior to this meeting and following the meeting, the Board met in executive session to receive information from Mr. Wolfe.

Adjournment

With there being no other business, Mr. Hornung made a motion to adjourn the meeting, and the meeting adjourned at 8:26 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Maureen Heberle
Recording Secretary

Approved by:

William B. Hawk Township
Secretary