

**LOWER PAXTON TOWNSHIP
VILLAGE OF LINGLESTOWN COMMITTEE**

A regular meeting of the Village of Linglestown Committee was held on Thursday, April 15, 2010, at the Linglestown Fire House Building, 5901 Linglestown Road, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.

Members In Attendance

Eric Kessler
Barry Stahl
Bill Twilley
Paul Rowe
John Kepler
Jerry Miller

Also in Attendance

Jeff Case, Arora and Associates
George Wolfe, Lower Paxton Twp. Township Manager
Glenn Hummel, Leon Wintermyer
William C. Seeds, Sr., Board of Supervisors, LPT
Mike Carl - Resident
Watson Fisher, SWAN
William Weaver, Authority Director, LPT
Mike Clancy – Linglestown Merchants Association
Larry Robenolt – Linglestown Merchants Association
Tina Robenolt – Linglestown Merchants Association

Call to Order

Mr. Kessler called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

Pledge of Allegiance

Mr. Kessler led in the recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

Approval of Minutes

Mr. Kessler questioned if anyone has corrections or comments regarding the March 18, 2010 Committee meeting minutes. Pastor Stahl made a motion to approve the minutes. Mr. Rowe seconded the motion, and the minutes were unanimously approved.

Public Comment

No public comment was presented.

Committee Member Comments

No comments were presented.

Proposed sewer replacement for Raspberry Alley

Mr. William Weaver, Authority Director, explained that he was asked to provide a brief summary of the sewer work that will be completed in Raspberry Alley. He noted that Raspberry Alley is located south of Linglestown Road, from Pennsylvania Avenue to Balthaser Street. He noted that the sewer replacement project coincides with an order from the State to replace certain sections of the sewer system over the next 15 years as part of a Corrective Action Plan (CAP). He noted that this project was originally scheduled to be bid next year; however, the Township plans to pave Raspberry Alley from Mountain Road to the area behind the First Impressions Boutique. He noted that the sanitary sewer would also be replaced in Raspberry Alley from Mountain Road east to Balthaser

Street. He noted that he was asked to move this project up on the list, and a preliminary plan has been designed by CET Engineering.

Mr. Weaver explained that the project involves replacing 2,500 linear feet of sewer that was installed in 1972, noting that there are 45 sewer connections. He explained, normally he would replace the main sewer lines to the houses at the same time; however, due to the time limitations for this project, he would only replace a small section of the sewer lateral, an area large enough to be out of the proposed paving area for the alley. He noted that the Authority would come back in a year or so to replace the entire section of sewer laterals to each home. He noted that this would only involve the homes that are located on Linglestown Road that abut Raspberry Alley. He noted that the sewer customers for Linglestown are serviced through the alleys.

Mr. Weaver explained that he is meeting with the Township Solicitor to determine if a change order could be done with one of the existing contractors, or if it would be better to bid this as a stand alone project. He stated that he hopes to have the design completed within the next month, and on May 20, 2010, he would like to conduct a public meeting to coincide with the Committee's meeting for the property owners to discuss the sewer project. He explained, once the design is completed, a letter would be sent to the property owners explaining that the private laterals and main sewer line needs to be replaced in order to achieve the goals of removing clear water from the sewer system. He noted that the homeowners would be sent a letter stating that their sewer lines would be replaced at no cost to them. He explained that it is a big area to sewer and the Board determines that this is the only way to make it cost effective for the property owners, as they could not afford to pay \$10,000 or \$20,000 for a private sewer. He noted that the Authority, as part of its consent decree with the Commonwealth, has agreed to do this work at no cost to its customers. He noted the during the public meeting, he would explain the limits of the project area, noting that he would be installing ten to fifteen feet of sewer laterals off the main line from Raspberry Lane, and installing a six inch cleanout with a cap to use for viewing the sewer lines. He noted that the property owners would be invited to attend the meeting and asked to sign an agreement since the Township is not permitted to trespass on private property without a signed agreement from the homeowners. He explained that the Authority is on a time constraint to install the new sewer lines in order for the Township to pave Raspberry Alley as soon as possible.

Mr. Kessler questioned if the Township is widening the alley. Mr. Wolfe answered no. He noted that Raspberry Alley's paving work is uncertain until the Township takes ownership of the alley. He noted that the sewer line is located in the alley and it can be replaced as part of the consent decree. He noted that the Township has the easements for the sewer work in the alley; however, it does not have the right-of-way for transit. He explained that the Township would like to have ownership of the alley, and the Linglestown Merchants Association (LMA) is working with one property owner who does not want the alley paved. He noted that he would like to see the sanitary sewer work completed prior to paving the alley.

Mr. Twilley questioned Mr. Weaver what has been the past history of attendance for property owners for a public meeting. Mr. Weaver answered that it varies, but for the most part, half of the property owners attend. Mr. Twilley questioned if the Committee would need a larger room for the next meeting. Mr. Wolfe answered that the current room would be good enough for this meeting. Mr. Weaver noted that he usually gets a good turnout but some property owners will just call the office.

Mr. Kessler questioned if the property owners would be mailed the forms prior to the meeting. Mr. Weaver answered that his Department would be mailing a letter and form, and he would provide a box for the property owners to deposit their signed forms. He noted that these forms do not require a notary, only a signature. He explained that he provides a stamped return envelope as well. He noted that he would like to start the work in early June.

Mr. Weaver noted that Leon Wintermyer would be replacing sewer lines in front of the Eagle Hotel. He explained that most of the sewer lines for the Village were placed in the alleys outside of the Square; however there is a line that runs down Mountain Road that connects to the alley at the intersection of Raspberry Alley and Mountain Road. He noted that Wintermyer will wait until the Authority sets the manhole before they run the line to the Eagle Hotel. He noted that a new force main from the pump station was recently installed west of the project. Mr. Kessler questioned if the poles could be replaced on his property. Mr. Weaver noted that Womex, the contractor, would be responsible for that.

Mr. Kessler questioned how long it would take to for Mr. Weaver to conduct his part of the meeting. Mr. Weaver noted that typically, it would take an hour and a half; however, it should only take fifteen minutes as it only concerns 29 property owners.

Project Update

Leon Wintermyer – Contractor

Mr. Kessler introduced Glenn Hummel, the Project Superintendent from Leon Wintermyer. Mr. Hummel noted for phase two, the eastbound lane will be closed for construction, allowing only westbound traffic on Linglestown Road. Mr. Kessler questioned when phase two would start. Mr. Hummel answered that he is planning to start phase two the first week of May and it would take some time until the southern (east bound) lane reconstruction is completed, and then he would switch to the northern (west bound) lane. He noted all the stormwater work is located in the south side of the road, therefore, there is more work to do on that side of the road and it would take longer to complete. Mr. Case questioned if Mr. Hummel planned to complete the southside roadway construction prior to the PENNDOT asphalt October shutdown date. Mr. Hummel answered that he did not know if he would be done.

Mr. Hummel explained that there will be access to the businesses but no parking will be permitted anywhere on Linglestown Road during the construction phase for both lanes. He noted that there will be access to the driveways and alleys except when storm pipe is being installed.

Mr. Kessler noted that traffic will flow much slower and possibly backed up. He asked how the Fire Company will respond to a fire call, and how the traffic would give way to emergency responders. Mr. Hummel answered that he was told that was an arrangement with West Hanover Township to assist in calls during the construction phase. Mr. Twilley noted that it will be difficult to navigate the roads to get to the fire house and the fire calls. Mr. Kessler questioned if someone notified South Central EMS regarding the construction. Mr. Wolfe noted that all the entities have been notified of the construction routes for the project, to include the schools.

Mr. Rowe questioned if the new bypass road would be useable once the eastbound lane is closed. Mr. Hummel answered that the bypass road would be open for one way traffic only

Village of Linglestown Meeting

April 15, 2010

northbound. He noted that temporary signage would be installed. Mr. Rowe suggested since the flagpole was removed, it would have been good to install a four-way stop sign at the Square until the road closure went into effect. Mr. Hummel noted that signage will be installed for temporary use once the lane is closed. Mr. Rowe noted that it would help the drivers become accustomed to stopping or yielding for the roundabouts.

Pastor Stahl questioned if the new bypass road would only be one lane from Route 39 to the Mountain. Mr. Hummel answered that drivers who want to go south down from the mountain will use the existing Blue Mountain Parkway. He noted that they will only be able to turn right at that intersection.

Mr. Clancy questioned if there will be no parking allowed on both sides of Route 39. Mr. Hummel noted that no parking will be permitted on the north side of Linglestown Road as he will have to do additional paving to provide for additional travel access for the westbound lane.

Discussion on street trees

Mr. Kessler explained that he met recently with Mr. John Whaley, a member of the Shade Tree Commission (STC) and Mr. Ken Shoaff, staff liaison, to discuss the trees shown on the plan. He explained that Mr. Whaley previously worked, as a landscape architect, for many years for PENNDOT, choosing trees and plant life for PENNDOT projects. He noted that the Committee made a recommendation for the project for the type of trees to be used and PENNDOT decided to use their standard choice of trees instead. He noted that the problem is their choice of trees shown on the plan. He noted that 13 Canadian Hemlock trees are shown to be used for screening on the newly created bypass road. He noted that the Committee was never asked to choose trees for that location. The other trees shown on the plan are 27 Crimson King Maples, however, many of the areas only provide for ten feet between the curb line and the buildings. He noted that the Crimson King Maples grow 20 feet wide and will not fit in those areas. He noted that Mr. Whaley and the STC made a recommendation to plant White Spruce trees along the bypass road as they tolerate road salt well. He noted that three of the trees are located in an area that is 3 feet below grade, and the plan calls for using four-foot trees. He noted that those trees would not be very useful for screening purposes.

Mr. Kessler explained that that the STC requested Mr. Case to ask PENNDOT to allow the STC be the ultimate deciding factor as to where the trees should be planted. He noted that light standards are shown on the plan where trees are shown, so the plan needs to be tweaked to work properly. He noted that the STC has asked the Committee to endorse having Mr. Case put a note on the plan to state that the Township will have the final say on location for the trees. Pastor Stahl made a recommendation that PENNDOT allow the Township to locate the trees on the plan. Mr. Twilley seconded the motion. Mr. Twilley suggested that the motion be amended to do this once the construction is completed. Mr. Case explained that this needs to be done now as the plan needs to be changed and approved prior to the time of plantings. Mr. Kessler called for a voice vote, and the motion was unanimously passed.

Mr. Kessler noted that Mr. Whaley was concerned that the contractor would purchase the trees wholesale and it is important that the root guard be drawn to scale and a super mix should be used when planting the trees. Mr. Case noted that Mr. Whaley was referring to structural soil. He noted that the budget came in right on track and this would be a change order item, so what will dictate what happens is the cost for the soil. Mr. Kessler noted that Mr. Whaley explained that highway trees are pruned differently. He noted if the super mix is not used, the warranty for the trees should be longer.

Mr. Carl noted that he would like big bushy trees planted in front of his place as the lights from the vehicles would be shining in his windows.

Mr. Seeds noted that he thought the selection of trees was approved years ago. Mr. Kessler answered that it was. He explained, two years ago the Committee made recommendations for two types of trees; however, PENNDOT looked at it, noting that they were not trees on their approved list, and changed the plan to all Crimson Maple trees, however they will not fit into the plan. He noted that the Shade Tree Commission made a recommendation to use the following trees and they request the Committee to make this recommendation to PENNDOT to use the STC's choice of trees. He noted along the new bypass road, for screening purposes, the STC picked a White Spruce tree. He noted that the STC would like to be able to recommend the location for the trees on the plan submitted to PENNDOT. He noted for the area through the Village along Linglestown Road, a Spring Glory Serviceberry was chosen. He noted that it is a flowering tree, with a mature height of 12-feet, and a spread of nine feet. He questioned Mr. Case how tall the new street lights will be. Mr. Case answered that they are 13 feet above the sidewalk.

Mr. Robenolt questioned what size the trees would be when planted. Mr. Kessler answered that they would be two-inch calipers with a height of six foot. He noted that it was discussed if the trees were bid at 1.5 inch caliper they may be able to purchase more trees. He noted that that the STC does not feel that there are enough trees on the plan.

Mr. Kessler noted that the trees to be planted in the Square area are the Karpick Red Maple. He noted that these trees reach a height of 30 to 40 feet and a width of twenty feet at the maturity age of 30 years.

Mr. Twilley made a recommendation to plant the White Spruce, Spring Glory Serviceberry and Karpick Red Maple trees. Pastor Stahl seconded the motion, and a unanimous vote followed.

PENNDOT

No one from PENNDOT was available for the meeting. Mr. Kessler requested Mr. Hummel to ask a PENNDOT representative to be at the next meeting.

Jeff Case, Arora and Associates – Design Engineer

Mr. Case noted that he did not have much more to share with the Committee. He noted that he spoke to Mr. Whaley and Mr. Shoaff, and they will work a design for the trees, and then he would submit the new design to PENNDOT. Mr. Kessler questioned if there is a chance to obtain a change order to plant more trees. Mr. Case noted that it would come down to money.

Mr. Seeds questioned why all the trees were not removed in the Village. Mr. Hummel answered that some trees were not marked to be taken down. Mr. Case noted that only the trees that had to be removed were removed. Mr. Kessler questioned if there will be problems with the existing trees that were left in the future. Mr. Seeds noted that Mr. Frysinger did not know if his trees were going to be removed and this was true for the trees along North Mountain Road. Mr. Hummel noted that the trees along North Mountain Road were scheduled to be removed. Mr. Hummel noted that they only took down the trees that were shown on the plan. Mr. Seeds suggested that leaving the old trees among the newly planted trees will not provide a good appearance. Mr. Kessler noted that it always come down

to money. Mr. Wolfe noted that the STC works with property owners and their trees, and tree planting can continue after the project is complete. He noted that the Colonial Road Extension project is a prime example of what the STC did in the Township. He suggested that the Committee should not be too worried about trees at this time. He noted that more trees could be planted once the project is done.

Mr. Kessler noted that the STC noted that the trees will look much better over time if they are property pruned, and the STC will work with the Committee to see that this is done. He noted that within the next three years the trees will need to be pruned.

United Water Company Project

No one was present from United Water Company at the meeting.

UGI

No one was present from UGI at the meeting.

Linglestown Merchants Association (LMA)

Raspberry Alley Update

Mr. Clancy explained that he is glad that there is no one at the meeting that wants to hang the merchants and run them out of town. Mr. Clancy noted that Mr. Robenolt attended the Board's workshop meeting when Raspberry Alley was discussed and there was opposition to the paving of Raspberry Alley on the part of some homeowners, one in particular. He noted that this opposition has been raised with the LMA and they are in the process of contacting a representative (an attorney), to discuss the issue with the property owner on behalf of the LMA to come to a compromise for the paving. He noted that the Association is hopeful that this will turn out pleasantly.

Mr. Kessler questioned if the value of paving the alley is access and parking. Mr. Clancy answered yes. He noted, once the road construction project is completed, the main goal is to get traffic out of the middle of the Square and have cars parking off to the corners and out of the main part of the Square. He noted that having the alleys as well-maintained thoroughfares for access to the businesses would be very important to all the merchants. Mr. Kessler explained that 11 years ago, that was one of the charges of the Committee. Mr. Clancy noted that the use of the alleys will be critical once road construction starts. He noted that the merchants will very soon see how important the alleys are to the lifeblood of the businesses. He noted that the merchants are working very hard to have an appropriate representative to try to have a productive discussion with Ms. Minium.

Mr. Seeds questioned if the merchants are fine with the alley being paved only to the First Impressions Boutique. Mr. Clancy noted that it is not optimal but if it is the best the merchants can get, then they are willing to take it. Mr. Seeds noted that the merchants feel that the holdup is with the one resident. Mr. Clancy noted that the opposition is very clearly with the one resident. Mr. Seeds questioned if the merchants are of the opinion that the Township would like them to talk to this person, as he did not know where this was coming from. Mr. Clancy noted that he did not feel that it is a requirement; however, it is the merchants' impression that since they are the subject of all the opposition, the Township would like the LMA to reach out to that party and try to get something resolved. Mr. Seeds noted that it is nice that the LMA is doing this, and he noted that Mr. Hawk

mentioned that it would be nice if the LMA would get together with the homeowner, but (speaking for himself), he did not think the LMA should go out and spend a lot of money. He noted that times are tough for all businesses, and paying a professional to try to resolve an issue that he did not know would ever be resolved is not necessary. He suggested that there is nothing to resolve. He noted that the garage doors are apparently eight to ten feet from the alley, and many homeowners have garages near the alley, and they have children too. He noted that the Township has issues with speeding on all the roads, and he did not think hiring an attorney would solve the problem. He noted that he would hate to have the LMA spend a lot of money on a problem that will never be resolved, and he suggested that this issue would not hold up the process. Mr. Clancy noted that Mr. Seeds is one person on the Board. Mr. Seeds noted that the Board must look at the good of everyone, noting that sometimes the weight of the community outweighs the individual rights. Mr. Clancy noted that the LMA thought that it was worth an effort to make a gesture of good will to try to have a productive conversation. He noted that he is trying to get this done as inexpensively as possible for the LMA, but it was decided that it would not be a good idea for any one member of the LMA to meet with the property owner. He noted that it should be decided by early next week who would have the conversation with the property owner. Mr. Seeds noted that it was indicated during the workshop meeting that it would be nice to have a meeting with Ms. Minium, but he did not think that the LMA had to make the effort. Mr. Clancy noted that he did not feel that it was a requirement but he wanted to do it as the neighborly thing to do. Mr. Seeds noted that the alleys need to be improved as soon as possible.

Ms. Tina Robenolt explained that she was in attendance at the workshop meeting and it was not productive from the standpoint of being able to have any dialogue with Ms. Minium who is the resident who is having issues with improving the alley. She explained that the Township is conducting a survey of the alley, and anyone could speak to it. Mr. Seeds noted that Mr. Weaver was surveying the alleys today for the future sewer work. Mr. Seeds questioned Mr. Wolfe if Rogele would be doing the sanitary sewer work. Mr. Wolfe answered that he is not sure who would be doing the work. Mr. Wolfe noted that Dawood Engineers will be conducting a survey of the alley, as they are the engineers who did the work for Blackberry Alley. Mr. Seeds questioned if this would include both the east and west sides of Raspberry Alley from Mountain Road. Mr. Wolfe answered yes.

Other Issues

Mr. Kessler questioned how clients would drive in the Village to use the businesses. He noted the map would be updated periodically as merchants join the LMA. He noted that the map will be four Robenolt explained the LMA is putting the final touches on the map that it is printing for its customers. He noted that it will be on the website of the businesses that belong to the LMA Association. He noted that the map will be two-sided. Mr. Kessler questioned if it would be a construction map. Mr. Robenolt answered that the map would be four inches by eight inches and printed on card stock. Mr. Kessler questioned what the website address was for the LMA. Mr. Robenolt answered that it is www.linglestownsquare.com. He noted that one side of the map will contain the street map and the back side will contain the merchant list based on the number shown on the map. He stated that he plans to print 5,000 copies of the map, and each business owner who belongs to the LMA would be supplied with a certain amount of maps and if they need additional maps, they will be charged a fee to cover the printing costs. Mr. Kessler suggested that they should be cautious not to print too many maps as they may become outdated as the construction process moves along. Mr. Robenolt noted that the map should be fine to use until Wintermyer starts construction on the north side of the road.

Mr. Rowe questioned if the satellite parking areas are shown on the map. Mr. Kessler noted that it would be very important to include those parking areas on the map. Mr. Clancy noted that they are not on the map but should be added to it. Mr. Robenolt noted that the auxiliary streets and list of merchants are listed on the map. Mr. Rowe noted that the location of the satellite parking is very important, and he suggested that the merchants should concentrate on informing their customers where they can park, and how they can get there.

Mr. Seeds explained that his wife attended the last LMA meeting, and she stated that the LMA needed something from the Township. Ms. Robenolt explained that that was taken care of. She stated that it was thought that it would be positive if the LMA had a rendering of what the Square would look like once the project is completed. Mr. Wolfe noted that the only rendering the Township has is from the original Action Plan and it is not current with the existing plan. She noted that it would be positive for the customers to see what the end result would be. She noted that this is the positive spin that the merchants are trying to portray to their customers. She explained that the map will also be used for particular events and promotions that the LMA will sponsor. She explained that the LMA had a very successful Chocolate Walk in February, and it would have been wonderful, at that time, to have had the map. She noted that the map will be used as a marketing tool in the future. She explained that Nature's Way, a business located east of the Village, received much more business the day of the Chocolate Walk, since they were members of the LMA. She noted that they in turn have a pool of people who might be interested in utilizing the businesses in the Village.

Mr. Kessler suggested that the satellite parking areas be named or identified in order to direct clients to these areas when the LMA sponsors large events. Mr. Case noted that he has the ability to provide a current rendering, and he would meet with Ms. Robenolt to discuss what she is looking for. Mr. Kessler suggested that it could be added to the Township's website as well.

Mr. Rowe questioned if a decision has been made for the direction of travel for Blackberry Alley. Mr. Wolfe answered that that decision has not been made yet. He noted that the alley will be paved since the Township received \$250,000 from Dauphin County for this project. He noted that the Dauphin County Commissioners will be making a formal check presentation to the Board of Supervisors on Tuesday, April 20th at 7:30 p.m. He invited those present to attend the meeting to thank the Commissioners for their support of this project. He explained that HRG, Inc. is designing a basic plan for paving for Blackberry Alley. Mr. Kessler questioned what will be paved. Mr. Wolfe answered that Blackberry Alley from Blue Mountain Parkway to North Mountain Road will be paved.

Mr. Seeds questioned what the Committee would like to see for the direction of traffic for Raspberry and Blackberry Alleys. Mr. Kessler answered, a year ago, it was discussed to have Blackberry Alley eastbound and Raspberry Alley westbound. He noted that it would depend on the construction factors. He noted that both Blackberry and Raspberry Alleys could be used for two-way traffic during the construction. He suggested that it would be very hard to stop that from happening. Mr. Seeds questioned if Mr. Kessler is suggesting that the alleys be two-way. Mr. Kessler answered no, but he did not think the one-way direction could be enforced, unless it is paved and signed. Mr. Seeds suggested that the merchants want Raspberry Alley to be westbound only from Mountain Road and to have Blackberry Alley westbound only. He explained that he would like the Village of Linglestown Committee in conjunction with the LMA to make a recommendation for the direction of traffic for both alleys and to forward the recommendation to the Board of Supervisors. Mr. Kessler noted that he would put it on the agenda for the May 20th meeting. Ms. Robenolt noted that the next LMA meeting will be held on May 3, at 6 p.m. Mr. Kessler requested Ms. Robenolt to have the LMA

provide a recommendation to the Village of Linglestown Committee on the direction of alleys. He requested Ms. Robenolt to send him a reminder of the meeting date as he would like to attend the meeting.

Mr. Kessler suggested that the merchants may want to create a colored map, such as what is done at the beaches, that advertises the various businesses. He stated that he would bring a sample of a map to the next meeting.

Mr. Twilley explained that he had a concern for the fire protection for the community. He noted that there are many old homes in the Village, and the only instance of a fire that occurred to a structure in the Village was to the same building twice. He noted that it is such a short distance to travel from the Fire House to the Parkway and if the Fire Company has to use Parkway West to get around the Village it may be very difficult as there are very sharp turns on the Mountain. Mr. Hummel noted that the fire trucks will be able to access the new bypass road to go north and vehicles coming from the westbound direction will be able to turn right on the new bypass road.

Mr. Seeds questioned, if there is a fire call, would the flaggers provide the right-of-way to the fire trucks. Mr. Hummel answered that the flaggers will provide the right-of-way for emergency vehicles. Mr. Twilley noted that the flaggers have provided access for their blue-light vehicles as well, and they do a very good job.

Mr. Hummel explained that there will be some parking on the north side of the Square. Mr. Seeds questioned if he plans to get to the north side of the road this construction season. Mr. Hummel answered that he plans to get to the north side this year.

Mr. Seeds noted that a phasing plan was discussed at a previous meeting. Mr. Hummel noted that the phasing schedule has been approved by PENNDOT. Mr. Wolfe noted that the phasing schedules are posted on the Township's website.

Mr. Carl questioned if the construction workers will be able to start at 6 a.m. and work to dusk. Mr. Hummel answered that the restrictions for construction to stop work at 3 p.m. was for phase one only. He noted that there are no restrictions for Phases Two and Three. Mr. Clancy questioned what the construction day will be during Phase Two. Mr. Hummel answered that he plans to work four ten-hour days, from 7 a.m. to 5 p.m. Mr. Robenolt questioned if they plan to work on Fridays. Mr. Hummel answered that he does not plan to work on Fridays unless he has rainy days earlier in the week.

Mr. Seeds noted that the flagpole was returned to the American Legion, but he questioned what happened to the monument. Mr. Hummel answered that the monument is stored in a trailer in a safe spot. He explained that it will be replaced in the Square after the project is completed. Mr. Seeds questioned if a new flag pole would be installed. Mr. Hummel answered that it will be.

Mr. Carl noted that the drainage flows into his back yard and stagnant water is lying in the area. He questioned what would be done to prevent mosquitoes from breeding in that area. He questioned if the entire pipe that was laid in that area has drainage that flows back into the soil. Mr. Hummel answered yes, noting that there is a baffle in the pipe. He noted that corrugated pipe was placed in that area. Mr. Carl questioned if the water is supposed to drain into the stone area. Mr. Case answered yes. Mr. Carl questioned who will use the access road in that area. Mr. Case noted that there is a drainage easement for that area. Mr. Kessler noted that the plan states that the access road was installed for

Village of Linglestown Meeting
April 15, 2010

PENNDOT to maintain the area. Mr. Carl suggested that the area will fill up with leaves and the water won't drain. He noted that there is a similar Township drain on Blue Mountain Parkway and it fills up with leaves. Mr. Case stated that he would meet with Mr. Hummel to take a look at it. Mr. Carl noted that he was told that they might be able to cut him a path to get around this area for him to access his bush area.

Mr. Kessler questioned what was new regarding the drainage problem he is having on his property. Mr. Case answered that he is working on that issue, noting that the area that Mr. Kessler is talking about is beyond the scope of the project. He noted that to go beyond the scope area with a PENNDOT system would be quite onerous. Mr. Kessler questioned if Mr. Case was able to email him some hydrology numbers. Mr. Case noted that he was working on this issue.

Adjournment

Mr. Rowe made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Mr. Twilley seconded the motion, and the meeting adjourned at 8:25 p.m. The next meeting will be held May 20, 2010.

Respectfully submitted,

Maureen Heberle
Recording Secretary