

**LOWER PAXTON TOWNSHIP  
AUTHORITY MEETING**

**MINUTES OF THE RE-ORGANIZATIONAL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 26, 2008**

**Call to Order**

The annual re-organizational meeting of the Lower Paxton Township Authority was called to order at 6:00 p.m. by Chairman Pro Tem Steve Stine on the above date at CET Engineering Services, 1240 North Mountain Road, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.

Authority members present were William B. Hawk, William C. Seeds, Sr., David B. Blain, and William L. Hornung.

Also in attendance were George Wolfe, Township Manager; Donna Speakman, Finance Director; William Weaver Sewer Authority Director; Jim Wetzal, Sewer Department; Steven Stine, Authority Solicitor; Jeff Wendle, Robert White, Kevin Shannon, Nancy Adams, Carrie Wengert, Alton Whittle, and Jodi Reese, CET Engineering Services, Inc.; Helen Billak, Ferris Baker Watts; and Thomas Smida, Mette, Evans, & Woodside.

**Pledge of Allegiance**

Mr. Hornung led the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

**Election of Chairman of the Board**

Mr. Blain nominated William Hawk as Chairman of the Board. The nomination was seconded by Mr. Seeds and was followed by a unanimous vote electing William Hawk as Chairman of Lower Paxton Township Sewer Authority.

**Election of Vice Chairman**

Mr. Hawk made a motion to nominate David Blain as Vice Chairman. The motion was seconded by Mr. Seeds and was followed by a unanimous vote electing David Blain as Vice Chairman of the Lower Paxton Township Sewer Authority.

**Election of Secretary, Treasurer, Assistant and Assistant Secretary**

Mr. Blain made a motion to nominate Gary Crissman as Secretary, William Hornung as Treasurer, Norman Hoffer as Assistant Treasurer and William C. Seeds, Sr. as Assistant Secretary of the Lower Paxton Township Sewer Authority. The motion was approved by a unanimous vote.

### **Appointment of the Authority Solicitor**

Mr. Blain made a motion to appoint Steven Stine as Authority Solicitor and CET Engineering Services, Inc. as Authority Engineer. The motion was followed by a unanimous vote of approval.

### **Establishment of Regular Meeting Schedule for Board Meetings**

Mr. Seeds made a motion to approve the regular Authority meeting dates of February 26, May 27, August 26, and November 25, 2008. The motion was followed by a unanimous vote of approval.

## **MINUTES OF THE FEBRUARY 26, 2008 BUSINESS MEETING**

### **Approval of Minutes**

Mr. Blain made a motion to approve the minutes of the November 27, 2008 meeting. Mr. Seeds seconded the motion, and a unanimous vote followed.

### **Public Comment**

There was no public comment.

### **Old Business**

There was no old business.

### **New Business**

#### **Approval of By Laws of the Lower Paxton Township Authority**

Mr. Seeds made a motion to correct Article I, Section 3 changing the address from 75 South Houcks Road, Harrisburg, PA to 425 Prince Street, Harrisburg, PA. The motion to make the correction and approve the By Laws was approved by a unanimous vote.

#### **Discussion with Helen Billak, representing Ferris, Baker, Watts, Inc., regarding the potential to refund certain bonds**

Ms. Billak stated the bond issue done in 2002 is available to be refinanced on a current basis. She noted that over the past couple of weeks there have been wild gyrations of the municipal bond market. She noted when they ran the numbers a couple of weeks ago, they were at \$150,000; on Friday they were at \$125,000; and today they were at \$84,000. Ms. Billak stated they had always looked for a 2% savings in any refunding, which would be about \$92,000 to \$94,000 in net benefits to the Township.

Ms. Billak stated she had suggested to Mr. Wolfe and Ms. Speakman that the Township allow her to proceed with all the documentation needed so they would be in a position to move forward with the refunding if an agreed upon minimum level would be reached so there would be a net benefit to the Township of a specified amount. She stated that refunding in today's market

wouldn't work. She noted a Parameters Resolution could be set up where the Township would approve a bond issue to be done in the future when the level of savings needed is achieved. She also noted they would authorize the chairman or an officer to sign the purchase contract so there would not be a need to come back to the Authority.

Mr. Hornung asked if a Resolution was needed. Mr. Smida stated the 2002's being talked about were a pure sewer financing. He stated the structure that has been followed is to have the Township issue it as a General Obligation Bond but have the Authority enter into a subsidy agreement by which you are able to incur the debt but have it offset by the bond so that you are not impacting the Township's ability to borrow money otherwise. He noted it would require the Township and Authority to approve the financing plan. He also noted that typically it has always been done on the same night. Discussion followed on the Parameters Resolution. Mr. Hawk stated it must be put before the Audit Committee. Ms. Billak suggested that Mr. Smida draft a Resolution for consideration at the next meeting. She noted a meeting could be scheduled at the same time as the Township meeting. Mr. Wolfe stated it could probably be done on March 11. Mr. Smida stated he would prepare a draft of a Resolution for consideration by both boards. He confirmed they wanted a minimum savings of 2% on the present value.

Authorization for Chairman to execute letter to Swatara Township Authority  
for comments to the PCP upgrade

Mr. Weaver stated they had many discussions during the budget process that Swatara Township Authority is planning a major upgrade to their waste water treatment facility in order to comply with the Chesapeake Bay Tributary Strategy. He stated he attended their annual meeting held in accordance with Article IX of the Inter-Municipal Agreement on Monday, February 11, 2008 at the Swatara Township Municipal Building. He noted all Board members from Hummelstown were also present expressing their concerns about how the upgrade and the increase in rates will affect their community.

Mr. Weaver stated that in preparation for the February 11 meeting he and Mr. Wolfe met with Mr. Wendle. He noted that, at their request, a letter was prepared for the signature of Mike Rimer of West Hanover Township and Mike Okeefe of Hummelstown Borough and approved at their Board meetings regarding participation in the shared design review. A letter was received from Ms. Reese for CET to conduct a detailed design review of the Swatara Water Pollution Control Plant Upgrade and Modifications Design Memorandum along with fifteen drawings at a cost not to exceed \$13,000. Mr. Weaver stated that CET then provided comments, and a meeting was scheduled by Swatara Township with the Engineer's Committee for January 31 at which time they reviewed a letter from Mr. Wendle expressing concerns. He noted they responded by letter at the February 11 meeting.

Mr. Wendle reviewed a memorandum he prepared for the Board to review for the upgrade. Mr. Wendle stated the design of the plant was reviewed at a meeting with CET and the engineers, and two main changes occurred. One change included changes in some operation modes so they weren't forced into operating it trying to get phosphorus removal biologically. The other change eliminated a return sludge pumping station. Mr. Wendle noted the big issue is what they are going to do with the sludge. He also noted that about 25% of the \$21,000,000 cost

of the project is associated with the sludge dryer. Mr. Wendle reviewed reasons why Swatara Township recommended the sludge drying. He noted they would be using natural gas and with the price of natural gas increasing any cost savings initially realized by the dryer may disappear. He noted the two main costs involved would be natural gas and electrical power. Mr. Wendle stated he wanted to advise the Board that if everything stays the same, it could cost \$150,000 more per year to operate. He suggested that a letter be prepared for the Chairman's signature stating a copy of the memo is attached and request that they provide some economic justification for the sludge dryer. Discussion followed. Mr. Seeds stated they could add the dryer at a later time. Mr. Wendle stated he made estimates of what it would cost to add an alarm silo and a new centrifuge. He noted he had talked with a company that would take the sludge off in 30-yard roll off trailers.

Mr. Weaver stated a regional facility makes sense. He noted that every wastewater treatment plant will have the same issues that Swatara is having and will be upgrading their plants. He noted he thought that Swatara's staff wanted a dryer and did not want to deal with Class B Biosolids. Mr. Weaver suggested that a letter be written and Lower Paxton's Authority members meet with the Swatara Board.

Mr. Seeds suggested that since this is not required to meet the Chesapeake Bay requirements, it should be put off for a few years because of the cost to the rate payers. Mr. Hornung asked how much control Lower Paxton has as to whether or not it is built. Mr. Wendle stated there is an existing agreement that does not mention expansion. Mr. Stine stated there was an original Inter-Municipal Agreement when the plant was built, and they have done a new agreement whenever there is an expansion. He noted none of the agreements addressed what happens if the plant gets upgraded or expanded. Mr. Hornung asked if we had the authority to say no. Mr. Stine stated there is no other place to take the Township's sewage. Mr. Hornung replied that there should be a formal method of approval or disapproval since other Townships are also paying the bill. Mr. Wendle stated that Hummelstown and West Hanover Township would get a copy of the letter since they paid for some of the work done by CET. Discussion followed. Mr. Wendle stated again that he would like to see more economic justification for what Swatara Township is proposing.

Mr. White stated that Susquehanna Valley Organics was looking at doing what Swatara Township is proposing to do on their own. He noted the land was basically given to them by the City of Harrisburg and their source of funding pulled out on them because of what happened with the cost of fuel. He then noted they decided not to invest their own money into it. He questioned why public money should be used if the private sector doesn't want to invest in it. It was decided to prepare a letter to Swatara Township for the Chairman's signature as previously discussed.

Selection of alternative for the sanitary sewer and force main  
Replacement at the Linglestown Road pumping station

Mr. Weaver reviewed an email from Melissa Smith of CET providing a cost analysis of the different alternatives for the Linglestown Road project. He noted the 2008 budget provided for some sanitary sewer replacement as part of the Linglestown Village Project and also removal

of some I & I because of some overflow conditions created recently at the pump station, in addition to an upgrade to the pump station and the force main because of the age of the pump station. Mr. Weaver explained that since that time, overflows have been experienced in 2008 at the pump station at regular intervals with minor events, and staff surmised there has to be something wrong with the force main. He stated CET was advised that this has to be a priority and they should get required permits and start on the design. He noted there were some complicated issues involving many factors including DEP permitting, Linglestown Square project and PENNDOT. Mr. Weaver stated Kevin Shannon of CET recommended that the Township choose Alternative 5B, which is to replace the 8-inch gravity sewer in place between manholes 840 and 836 and locate the force main in the same trench and then extend the force main through the field on the north side of the street until it connects into the existing pumping station. Mr. Shannon reviewed the different alternatives. He stated the initial idea was to move both the force main and the gravity sewer out of the right of way of Route 39.

Mr. Shannon stated that last week PENNDOT determined there could be some reimbursement for any work done in the PENNDOT right of way. He noted CET then focused on putting as much of the pipe back in the right of way within the corridor project area because PENNDOT would be reimbursing at least 50% and up to 80%. Mr. Shannon stated that PENNDOT does not allow asbestos cement pipe to be filled with concrete and abandoned in place because it is a liability for them. He noted it is a bad option to put a new sewer outside of the right of way if the old one has to be taken out. Mr. Shannon stated that sizing options have been looked at because of the overflows at the pump station. He noted the current force main is a 4" cast iron pipe and it was decided to use a 6" high density polyethylene pipe which is thicker but gives the most flexibility to deal with high flows.

Discussion followed on the amount of reimbursement and Township costs. Mr. Wolfe stated the entire Village Project is an 80/20 project, and the Township pays 20% of the cost from end to end. Mr. Wolfe asked why the road should be cut twice. Mr. Shannon replied that the work being recommended at an estimated cost of \$400,000 would be more than that if it was incorporated in the Corridor Project because contractors who bid on that are generally not utility contractors, and it would be subbed out. Mr. Wendle stated that more importantly, the force main is an immediate issue and the Linglestown Project is not going to be built until the end of 2008. He noted the force main is old and not even passing the station's capacity, and it needs to be replaced as soon as possible. Mr. Wendle stated his opinion is that the sewer should be done at the same time the force main is being replaced.

Mr. Wendle noted there is a section of land where the Kessler property is located that is the one section of PC13 that was allowed to develop. He noted CET estimated the future capacity requirements of the force main because if that area ever develops it will have to pump up past the Linglestown pumping station and will also expand the capacity of the station to the extent that maybe the overflows can be stopped, even the I & I overflows, depending on the downstream sections being able to hold some increased flow from the pumping station.

Mr. Weaver stated replacement of the pump station and force main is included in the budget. Mr. Wolfe asked if authorization is needed to proceed with the alternative. Mr. Shannon replied authorization is needed, with the understanding that even if reimbursement is not

received, the project cost is still within the budgeted amount. Mr. Seeds made a motion to proceed with Alternative 5B as presented by CET. Mr. Blain seconded the motion and it was followed by a unanimous vote of approval.

Selection of alternative for the relocation/replacement of sanitary sewers  
As part of the Colonial Road improvement project

Mr. Weaver stated the Colonial Road reconstruction is part of the Stray Winds Farms Project. He noted his department was approached by Triple Crown Corporation about some issues with regard to the agreement with Lower Paxton Township for the roadway improvement project. He also noted their plans were reviewed by Mr. Shannon who provided comments in which he recommended that the sanitary sewers be replaced. Mr. Weaver stated Triple Crown met with them with concerns regarding the recommendation. He noted that Mr. Shannon of CET looked at different alternatives for sewers that were in the affected area, and it was CET's recommendation that the sanitary sewers within the area be replaced.

Mr. Shannon reviewed two alternatives. He noted the first alternative was to replace all sewers between Valley View and Lakeview at an approximate cost of \$270,000. He also noted it might be slightly less than \$200,000 if DeSanto's contractor does the work. Mr. Shannon reviewed the second alternative at an approximate cost of \$195,000. He noted he did not see a lot of savings in the developer's contractor doing the work in the second alternative. Mr. Shannon stated it is part of PC3B which is a problem area. Mr. Stine asked if we are supposed to replace all the asbestos in the pipe according to the Consent Decree. Ms. Reese replied it was not going to be done in PC3B or 5C. Mr. Wendle stated the cost was estimated as being a municipal job, but had suggested that Triple Crown's engineer draw up the plans and do the survey and design and replace it as part of their project.

He noted that due to the expense, it was suggested that the Township assume a portion of the project doing the overlay paving part. Mr. Weaver stated there are two issues with this. He noted that the current Roadway Improvement Project approved by the Supervisors would have to be amended because it says the Township is going to do the sewer work. He also noted there would have to be an agreement of what it would cost Triple Crown to do the work and what the Township would do in kind as part of the agreement. He stated Mark DiSantos is not pleased about the idea of the Township doing additional sewer work other than what is required as reported by his engineer. Mr. Weaver noted their engineer feels the only area where the sewer has to be lowered is at MacIntosh Road where the hump is to be shaved down. Mr. Weaver stated that CET says that there are manholes in the wheel path and the sewers should be replaced.

Mr. Seeds asked if there was money budgeted for this project. Mr. Weaver replied there was no money budgeted. Mr. Shannon stated they had discussed the forgiveness of some tapping fees.

Mr. Wolfe asked how soon they would advertise for the Linglestown Road Project. Mr. Wendle responded the issue is that DEP said they would expedite the Part II Permit since the force main size was being increased. Mr. Shannon noted the schedule states permit documents

are to be submitted in the middle of March. He noted they want construction to be completed by the end of August. He also noted advertising is scheduled for the middle of May. Mr. Hornung asked if they could advertise while getting the DEP permit. Mr. Shannon replied they could if they had a good feeling they were going to acquire the right of way and get the permits. Mr. Wolfe noted Mr. Weaver's reason for not merging this project into the Village project is that it needs to be done as soon as possible. Mr. Hornung stated his concern is if the flow rate has already dropped off, it is going to continue to get worse. He stated he would like to see the work done as soon as possible.

Mr. Weaver stated that Triple Crown is not in a hurry to move ahead with the construction phase; they want the planning phase to be done. He noted Mr. DiSantos wants to get his PENNDOT permit and asked that the Township decide what it wanted to replace. Mr. Weaver stated it is being recommended that the Board consider the option as presented to replace everything with the understanding there is some negotiation at the Township level to revise the roadway improvement agreement for the actual costs. He noted the cost at this time is \$274,000 with a potential of \$200,000 depending on negotiation with Mr. DiSantos.

Resolution 08-01; increasing the amount of reimbursement for the replacement of under slab plumbing

Mr. Weaver stated Resolution 08-01 was prepared to increase the amount of reimbursement for the replacement of under slab plumbing. He noted as part of the Devon Manor Project, staff has been getting residents to agree to replace their under slab plumbing. He also noted that originally the reimbursement was \$2,500, with the understanding that the Board would approve any future amounts. He stated it has been determined that it could be much more than that depending on each property. Mr. Weaver noted there are fourteen properties left, and the prices could vary between \$5,000 and \$10,000. He stated the Board is being asked to give an approval up to \$10,000. Mr. Hornung asked if there is a cut-off limit for I & I. Mr. Wendle stated his recommendation is to limit it to the basin cost. Discussion followed. Mr. Seeds made a motion to approve Resolution 08-01. Mr. Blain seconded the motion, and it was followed by a unanimous vote of approval.

Action on the design for clear water and storm water improvements in Devon Manor

Mr. Weaver stated a letter was sent to Devon Manor resident, Mr. Boratko, requiring him to replace his under slab plumbing. He has objected and requested that the Board meet at his property to discuss installing a clearwater system. He noted that Mr. Boratko has an estimated 15,000 gallons a day leak under his basement during a wet weather event. Ms. Reese reviewed results from Township staff's visits to properties during wet weather events to determine if there was flow. Discussion followed. Mr. Wendle stated a stormwater/clearwater system was designed as part of PC5C, but nothing was ever done. He noted a clearwater system would not have a huge impact on Mr. Boratko's peak flow because he still has a leak in his basement. He explained it would keep flow out of the system, but not the peak. Mr. Wendle stated the estimated cost to install a stormwater/clearwater system is approximately \$200,000. He noted for less money a small clearwater system could be put in to carry away Mr. Boratko's and his

neighbors' sump pump discharge. He also noted that he thought their sump pumps would drain to the front of their house if they put it in their yard. Discussion followed.

Mr. Weaver stated the system Gannett Flemming designed and put in years ago is effective. He noted that from staff's perspective, there is a lot of sewer work to do. He noted staff is recommending that they continue the under slab replacement program and have CET look at some Clearwater systems only to take care of some of the sump pump discharges. Mr. Wendle stated they would do a cost estimate to put in some localized clearwater lines. Mr. Hornung stated he thought a small Clearwater system should be put in to get rid of the sump pump and go with the under drainage. Mr. Wendle stated that Mr. Boratko thinks the leak will go away if the ponding in his back yard is fixed, and that is not true. Discussion followed. Mr. Blain stated the Board should meet with Mr. Boratko and lay out the different options for him. Mr. Wolfe asked Mr. Wendle to have the Clearwater system designed or sketched for the road tour which would be the best time to meet with Mr. Boratko. Mr. Wolfe noted the road tour is usually during the first two weeks in April.

Resolutions 08-02 through 08-05 authorizing the condemnation of properties for the sanitary easements for the Earl Drive sewer replacement project

Mr. Blain made a motion to approve Resolutions 08-02 through 08-05. Mr. Seeds seconded the motion, and it was followed by a unanimous vote of approval.

## **Township Reports**

### Update on Second Consent Decree

#### Review of the draft First Amendment to the Second Consent Decree for the Paxton Creek Second Corrective Action Plan

Mr. Weaver stated Mr. Stine prepared the draft. Mr. Stine stated the amendment was done to incorporate the changes that were made in Paxton Creek to go from primarily utilizing the storage option to going now to the elimination of I & I through total replacement of a number of sections of the sewer as well as some rehabilitation. He noted this was done at the request of DEP because they wanted an amendment that would incorporate the factual background to justify the extension to June 30, 2027. He also noted he emailed it to Gary Neff at DEP and no comments or response has been received.

Mr. Weaver stated that a meeting will be scheduled with DEP to review Second Consent Decree issues. He noted he also provided a CEP return of \$11,500. Mr. Weaver also noted the projects have to be done within a year, and it is difficult to do that since DEP requires permitting, Mr. Weaver stated the next one is Asylum Run, and permitting is needed. He noted it will not be completed in a year, and the money will be lost unless DEP allow another year to complete the project.

## Review of the Draft Permit for the Beaver Creek Wet Weather Treatment Facility

Mr. Weaver provided the Board with the draft of the permit and comments from Randy Hurst who is special counsel for review of the Beaver Creek Wet Weather Treatment Facility. Mr. Weaver stated DEP continues to publish the Draft Permit with limits not agreed to and language within the permit that doesn't make any sense in terms of how we anticipate complying with the permit. He noted he is going to meet with Mr. Hurst, Mr. Wendle, Ms. Reese, Mr. Wolfe, and Mr. Stine to formalize their recommendations in one letter to DEP and continue to follow up with more meetings with DEP to ensure the Draft Permit is done correctly. Ms. Reese stated the difference between the most recent comments and the comments provided over the years with the many permit writers for DEP is that this discharge permit has been published in their bulletin, and we have thirty days to provide comments.

## Update on the Encroachment Permit/Update on Beaver Creek Pumping Station

Ms. Reese stated the other permit for the Wet Weather Treatment Plant is the Part II Permit which also requires the Encroachment Permit. She noted that we wanted the Encroachment Permit first so that the fill could be placed on the site and allow for settling time required. She stated DEP has agreed to issue the Encroachment Permit ahead of the Part II. She noted that given the issues with the project, it was determined that the Region needed to hand it up to Central Office in order to have the Encroachment Permit approved.

Ms. Reese reviewed the status of the Part II Permit stating they have extracted out the pump station for Beaver Creek, and it has been given to DEP. She noted DEP has been asked to expedite it. She also noted that they expect to bid the pump station within the next couple of weeks.

## Review of the draft letter to DEP for the Spring Creek Restrictor

Mr. Weaver stated Mr. Hornung requested further analysis in terms of whether or not we are going to flood Swatara Township, as they had suggested and whether we wanted to continue considering putting our own restrictor in at 4.5. Mr. Weaver stated he asked Mr. Whittle of CET to determine if there is storage in the sewer system that could solidify Swatara's concern. Mr. Whittle did a study using a hydrograph and provided an update of his findings. He stated the actual amount that overflowed and is captured by the overflow meter represents the full amount coming down the pipes. He noted there is not significant storage in the system and there is not a significant amount that never makes it to the system because it is full. Ms. Reese stated the results were based on events that occurred after the replacement project. Mr. Weaver noted that there has been no flooding in ten years on Derry Street sewers. He also noted that during the last ten years Lower Paxton Township has done a lot of work and removed over a million gallons of I & I. He stated Susquehanna Township has also removed a lot of I & I and has plans to continue to remove flow. He noted this information was included in the draft letter to DEP.

Department legal counsel, Gary Hepner, continues to indicate at the meetings that Lower Paxton Township should receive permission from Swatara Township Authority. Mr. Weaver

stated there is no agreement for the restrictor, and there is no reason to get permission to remove the restrictor since they never gave permission to put it in. He noted it was determined that at one time a permit was issued to remove the restrictor, but it cannot be found. He also noted the Township wants to use that permit to remove the restrictor. Mr. Seeds made a motion to approve transmitting the letter to Lee McDonald. Mr. Blain seconded the motion, and it was followed by a unanimous vote of approval.

#### Review of current construction projects and the construction schedule

Mr. Weaver stated he requested Kevin Shannon and Jodi Reese to provide a current construction schedule for all of the projects. Ms. Reese stated the schedule is a draft and they must sit down with the Township to determine their capabilities to do their work and inspections. Mr. Weaver stated there will be more competitive bids than there were in the past. Discussion followed on the possibility of bidding contracts with a large window of time for completion.

#### Review of a request from West Hanover for availability and costs for capacity in the Beaver Creek Drainage Basin

Mr. Weaver reviewed a letter received from West Hanover. He noted Mr. Wendle has reviewed this letter previously, and it has come to the forefront because of South Hanover/West Hanover's litigation with Swatara Township pertaining to their joint use interceptor capacity. He explained they are anxious to put flow into the Beaver Creek drainage basin, and that requires capacity from Lower Paxton Township. He noted at this time they have none, and Lower Paxton Township has been providing capacity to West Hanover because they have been assumed as a Lower Paxton customer. Mr. Weaver stated that Mr. Wendle provided numbers before, and approval is now needed from the Board to authorize Mr. Wendle to update the numbers and have a meeting with West Hanover Township. Discussion followed. Mr. Wendle recommended informing West Hanover what their share would be if they were allowed capacity.

#### Review of a request from Swatara Township legal counsel to meet regarding the settlement of litigation with West Hanover for capacity in the joint-use interceptor

Mr. Weaver stated Mr. Stine was contacted by the special counsel from Swatara Township Authority regarding a capacity issue for West Hanover in the joint-use interceptor. He stated there were recommendations from Swatara Township Authority Staff and Legal Counsel that West Hanover contact Lower Paxton about some options for settling the litigation that would include Lower Paxton Township providing either treatment plant capacity in the wet weather treatment plant or some of Lower Paxton's capacity in the joint-use interceptor. Mr. Weaver informed the Board that Mr. Stine recommended that the parties of the treatment plant who were party to this litigation meet with us. He noted if there is no objection by the Board, a meeting will be scheduled with West Hanover regarding this issue.

Review of the PADEP Notice of Violation and LPT response for a sanitary sewer overflow

Mr. Weaver stated a notice of violation was received from DEP after experiencing a sanitary sewer overflow as a result of some grease in Claremont. He noted there was an overflow to the stream. Mr. Weaver stated a letter was drafted as requested within DEP's letter describing the cause of noncompliance and steps for correcting the noncompliance in the future. He noted there was not a lot more to do other than get an agreement with a local municipality that can provide vactor services. Mr. Weaver stated Mr. Wetzel's recommendation is to purchase a vactor truck when the flusher truck reaches its life expectancy because it can serve many purposes.

Compliance Inspection at Springford Village Treatment Plant

Mr. Weaver stated there was a compliance inspection at the Springford Village Treatment Plant, and the only finding was that we should have some kind of temperature reading for the Automatic Sampler.

Mr. Weaver stated Mr. Hoffer informed him that he would support the refinancing as long as it got down to no lower than 2%, but he would recommend the Board negotiate the insurance margins. He also recommends pushing them to get the basis points for the bond insurance down as much as possible.

**Engineers Report**

Ms. Reese stated the information had been covered during the meeting.

**Next Meeting**

The next meeting will be held on May 27, 2008.

**Adjournment**

Mr. Blain made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 9 p.m. Mr. Hornung seconded the motion and it was followed by a unanimous vote of approval.

Respectfully submitted,

Kathryn A. Sawyer  
Recording Secretary

Approved by:

Gary A. Crissman  
Authority Secretary