

LOWER PAXTON TOWNSHIP
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of Board Meeting held Tuesday, June 3, 2008

A business meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Lower Paxton Township was called to order at 7:31 p.m. by Chairman William B. Hawk on the above date in the Lower Paxton Township Municipal Center, 425 Prince Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.

Supervisors present in addition to Mr. Hawk were: William C. Seeds, Sr., William L. Hornung, Gary A. Crissman, and David B. Blain.

Also in attendance were George Wolfe, Township Manager; Steven Stine, Township Solicitor; Lori Wissler, Planning and Zoning Manager; Tom Stang, Waste Management; and Robert Brightbill; Lakeside Marina.

Pledge of Allegiance

Mr. Crissman led in the recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance.

Approval of Minutes

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve the minutes from the workshop meeting held April 15, 2008. Mr. Blain seconded the motion, and the motion was approved unanimously.

Public Comment

None was provided.

Chairman and Board Member's Comments

Mr. Hawk introduced Boys Scouts Daniel Bair and Eric Williams, who are working on their "Citizenship in the Community Merit" badge. He explained that they are from Troop 256, associated with Faith Presbyterian Church located on Colonial Road,

Manager's Report

Mr. Wolfe noted that the Lower Paxton Township Arts Council will hold a public display of art, presented by artist David Russell, at the Chocolate Café, located on Linglestown Road, on

June 4th from 6:45 p.m. and ending at approximately 9 p.m. He noted that the public is invited to attend.

Mr. Wolfe noted that the Central Dauphin High School All-Class Reunion will be held on Saturday, June 21, 2008, from 4 p.m. to 11 p.m. at Cedars Grove located at 8143 Old Jonestown Road. He noted that the prices for the tickets are \$25 for non-members and \$20 for members. The events include music, karaoke, catered meal, desserts, drinks, beer, and wine. He noted on Sunday, June 22, 2008 there will be a benefit concert held at the former Central Dauphin High School, located at 4600 Locust Lane, at 2:30 p.m. by the Central Dauphin Alumni Jazz Combo, under the direction of retired band director Jim Milbrand. The price of admission is \$10, and the entire community is invited. Additional information is available by calling 541-0220 or www.CDHSalumni.com.

Mr. Wolfe noted that the Lower Paxton Township Parks and Recreation Department, along with the Linglestown Fire Company, will once again, sponsor a fireworks display at Koons Park, on Saturday, July 5th at dusk, rain or shine. The public is invited to attend this event.

OLD BUSINESS

Reconsideration of a bid for collection of municipal solid waste and recyclables as it pertains to leaf waste collection

Mr. Wolfe noted that during the meeting held May 19, 2008, the Board of Supervisors awarded the bid to Waste Management for the collection of municipal solid waste (MSW) and recyclables and the optional leaf waste program. He suggested that during the meeting, there may have been some confusion regarding the options, and he noted that the all-customer leaf waste collection program needs to be revisited by the Board members. He noted that the bidders provided bids for a program similar to the one run by the Township that allows citizens to choose the leaf waste program, and pay the fees to the Township. He noted that the second alternate in

the bidding process was for the all-customer leaf waste program available to the residents at curbside, for an additional cost per month.

Mr. Wolfe noted that he discussed the Township's ability to amend the award with the Township Solicitor, and given the fact that this would not affect the lowest response bid for the bidding vendors; Mr. Stine stated that he did not have a problem with the Board amending the award. He noted that he also discussed the proposal for an amendment with Mr. Stang of Waste Management, and he indicated that he would be willing to perform either service.

Mr. Wolfe provided the Board members with the Waste Management Leaf Waste Collection schedule, noting that it would be the same for the optional program or the all-customer program. He included the prices and bid specifications for both alternatives. He noted that the Board is free to take action if it so desires or it may determine that it does not want to make any changes to its prior vote.

Mr. Seeds questioned if the Township currently picks up the leaf waste for the citizens. Mr. Wolfe answered that it does provide that service. Mr. Seeds noted, if the Board would make a change, the change would go into affect on July 2, 2008, and he questioned what would happen to the Township residents that have paid for leaf waste service for the remainder of the year. Mr. Wolfe answered that the residents would be refunded their pro-rated share of costs.

Mr. Wolfe noted if the optional leaf waste program is changed, Waste Management (WM) would allow the customers to select the optional leaf waste program, and WM would bill them accordingly at the rate of \$6.58 per month on a quarterly basis, but, if the all-customer leaf waste option is chosen, then WM would not have to do any additional mailers to determine who would participate in the program as they would bill each resident an additional \$.72 per month on a quarterly basis. Mr. Seeds noted, if the Township chooses to stay with the optional leaf waste program, the residents would have the option of paying WM for the current service or

cancelling their service. He noted that the Township would not be providing this service to the citizens after July 1, 2008. Mr. Wolfe noted that that was not entirely true, the Township would have leaf waste collection, but it would be provided in one form or the other by WM. He noted that it would be provided in its current form by WM, twice a month, April through December. Mr. Seeds questioned if those people would be provided a rebate check or would the rebate check be mailed to WM. He noted that there is a difference between the Township's fees and WM's fee. Mr. Blain noted that the residents would still have an option to choose the optional leaf waste program or the all-customer leaf waste program, but it would be serviced by employees of WM, and not the Township.

Mr. Seeds questioned if WM would use the current schedule. Mr. Wolfe explained that Mr. Stang plans to collect the leaf waste collection on the same day as the collection for MSW. Mr. Stang noted that he will continue to run the routes as they are currently run. Mr. Seeds questioned if the leaf waste would be collected on the same day. Mr. Stang answered that it would be. Mr. Hawk noted that if the Township chooses to stay with the optional leaf waste program, the cost would be \$6.58 per month or \$78.96 per year. Mr. Wolfe noted that that was correct. Mr. Hawk questioned what the \$2.58 per-container cost was for. Mr. Wolfe answered that the current program provides for a per-bag service, noting that there are two classes of MSW service. He noted that one service pays a flat fee per month and everything is collected at curbside, up to four cans, one bulk item, and unlimited recyclables. He noted that most people choose this option; however, there are a few residents who participate in the per-bag of MSW collection. He noted that they pay \$3 per bag at curbside, but, for those people to participate in the leaf waste program, they also pay on a per-bag basis, and the price is listed in the Section Five alternate as \$2.50 per month. He noted that the per-bag option was afforded to the customers who only generate a bag or less of trash, per week, and affords that they would not

have to pay the full fee. He noted to include those people into the leaf waste program; they must be on a per-bag basis also.

Mr. Seeds noted that he was at the compost facility today, and it was pretty busy. He noted, if the all-customer option is chosen, then most of the leaf waste would be delivered in the WM trucks. He noted that this would be a savings to the Township in man-hours as the employees would only need to move a large pile of leaf waste from their trucks as opposed to moving hundreds of little piles from residents dropping off their leaf waste. Mr. Wolfe suggested that there may be a slight savings, in regard to bulk delivery as opposed to individual delivery, but he suggested that the Township would collect more material than what it collected under the optional program. He noted that the overall vehicle trips to the compost facility should be reduced. Mr. Seeds noted that it would be a savings to the citizens that they would not have to transport their leaf waste especially with the high cost of gasoline. He suggested that the residents' yards would be neater and cleaner as they would take their leaf waste to the curb in place of transporting it to the compost facility. Mr. Wolfe noted that from a staff operations perspective, neither program will affect municipal Township staff's operations. He noted that it is a policy decision, to have leaf waste available to all citizens at a minimal cost, or to be available only to those who desire it at a higher cost. He noted that the higher cost option is almost exactly what the Township is paying now, but the lower cost option is available for pennies a month.

Mr. Hornung questioned if this change would affect the budget in any way. Mr. Wolfe explained that after the first year, it would not. He noted that the refund would affect this year's budget, but it would happen regardless of which option was chosen.

Mr. Seeds questioned if the current program was self supporting. Mr. Wolfe answered that it was not self-supporting, and would result in a savings to the Township. Mr. Blain noted

that the leaf waste staff would be able to be used for other functions within the Township, and it would reduce the possibility of a need to hire in the Public Works Department in the near future. Mr. Wolfe noted that there is a savings to the municipal operations. Mr. Seeds noted that it would free up the personnel to do other jobs.

Mr. Hornung questioned if the Township would continue the fall leaf pick up program. Mr. Wolfe answered that the Township would continue the fall vacuum leaf collection. He noted that it would not affect the availability of the compost facility as he plans to keep the facility open three days a week under the same hours of operations for residents who want to continue to dump materials or pick up mulch when it is available.

Mr. Stang questioned if he would have access to the compost facility on his service days. Mr. Wolfe answered that he would be provided access to the compost facility.

Mr. Seeds noted that over the years, the Township has had a good working relationship with Waste Management. Mr. Stang answered that he agreed, noting that he has worked with the Township to make changes and addendums that have worked out best for the citizens. He noted that he would be willing to go with whichever option the Board chooses for leaf waste collection.

Mr. Crissman questioned what the base cost without the leaf waste program is. Mr. Stang answered that it would be \$16.67. Mr. Crissman noted that \$.72 would be added to that amount to provide for all-customer leaf waste collection. Mr. Blain noted that for the all-customer program, the base fee is \$15.95 plus \$.72 to equal \$16.67 for the first year. He noted that the cost for the optional leaf waste program is \$15.95 for the base cost, plus an additional \$6.58 per month. He noted that the base fee of \$15.95 is lower than what the residents currently pay at \$16.09. He noted that that \$16.67 rate compared to the current rate of \$16.09 does not include leaf waste; he noted that it is an increase of 3.5% which is a bargain for putting unlimited leaf waste curbside. He noted that the municipality has many senior residents, who cannot do their

own yard work and contract to have the work done. He noted that he is concerned that they will say that they are obligated to pay the \$.72 a month and will not use the service. He noted that possibly, the residents could have their landscape person put the leaf waste at curbside and not be charged to haul the material away.

Mr. Stang questioned if the residents benefit from the compost made at the compost facility. Mr. Wolfe answered that the Township allows residents to take compost when it is available, and it is also used in the Township parks. Mr. Stang suggested that there would be a larger volume dumped at the compost facility, so the product produced could also be used by the residents. Mr. Hornung noted that those person who don't use the leaf waste program, probably have some leaf waste from inside or outside their homes and typically, they may put it with their garbage. He noted that they violate the system since they don't have enough to justify paying \$72 a year. He noted that other people will dump the leaf waste at the end of the yard or in the woods and sometimes it collects rats or vermin over the summertime. He noted that it would provide an overall means to clean up the Township and provide a nicer place to live. He suggested that the participation in the project will increase abundantly. Mr. Crissman noted that the additional \$.72 per month amounts to \$8.64 a year.

Mr. Blain made a motion to approve the all-customer participation leaf waste to be \$.72 per month on the base cost of \$15.95 per month for the first year. Mr. Blain noted that this is part of a five-year agreement which would include the costs for the all-customer participation in leaf waste for Year 2: \$.75; Year 3: \$.78; Year 4: \$.82; and Year 5: \$.86. Mr. Hornung questioned if the Board needed to rescind the prior motion. Mr. Stine noted that Mr. Blain was making a motion to change what was done previously. Mr. Hornung seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk called for a roll call vote: Mr. Blain, aye; Mr. Crissman, aye; Mr. Hornung, aye; Mr. Seeds, aye; and Mr. Hawk, aye.

Mr. Stang noted that he has a meeting with the Township on June 10, 2008 at 8:30 a.m. and he would go over the scheduling with staff at that time. He noted that he appreciates the opportunity to continue to service the Township for the next five years. Mr. Crissman noted that he appreciates how well Mr. Stang works to solve the customers' problems.

NEW BUSINESS

Ordinance 2008-01; Amending the zoning designation for property commonly referred to as Lakeside Marina on Carolyn Street from Low Density Residential to Commercial General

Ms. Wissler explained that The Township has proposed an amendment to the Township's Zoning Map for properties located north of Carolyn Street, (Parcels 35-043-017, 35-043-047, 35-043-001, 35-043-002, 35-043-23, and 35-043-039). These properties are currently zoned R-1, Low Density Residential District, and the amendment would rezone these properties to CG, Commercial General District.

Ms. Wissler noted that the parcel is abutted to the north and west by the R-1, Low Density Residential District, to the east is the CG, Commercial General District, and to the south (across Carolyn Street) it is zoned R-1 and CG District.

Ms. Wissler explained that the 2004 Comprehensive Plan's Future Land Use Map shows the area to be Low/Medium Density Residential and the existing uses of the properties are Lakeside Marina, four single family dwellings, and one vacant parcel.

Ms. Wissler noted that the Dauphin County Planning Commission reviewed the Township's proposed amendment on January 7, 2008, and recommended that the proposed zoning change from R-1, Low Density Residential District to CG, Commercial General District be approved only for two parcels 35-043-001 and 35-043-002, located east of Fenway Drive. The County further recommended that the remaining four tax parcels 35-043-017, 35-043-023,

35-043-039 and 35-043-047 be rezoned to R-2, which is consistent with the type of development currently in-place in that neighborhood.

Ms. Wissler explained that the Lower Paxton Township Planning Commission reviewed the amendment on January 9, 2008. At that meeting, several residents spoke regarding the rezoning. Their main concern was the type of uses that would be permitted by the new zoning if the properties were sold. After a lengthy discussion, the Planning Commission recommended that the following parcels be changed to CG, Commercial General District: Two parcels located east of Fenway Drive (Parcels 35-043-002 and 35-043-001); 2) Parcel 35-043-039, addressed as 149 Friar Road; 3) Parcel 35-043-023, addressed as 21 Thornwood Road; and 4) The southern portion of Parcel 35-043-047. The northern portion would remain R-1, Low Density Residential District.

Ms. Wissler noted on May 1, 2008, the Township mailed notices to property owners surrounding the area of the proposed rezoning. The notice indicated that the Board would consider the rezoning at a public hearing on June 3, 2008. She explained that notices were posted on the parcels being considered for rezoning on May 27, 2008.

Ms. Wissler noted that Mr. Bob Brightbill is present to represent the plan.

Mr. Seeds questioned if Ms. Wissler mentioned that the land was zoned commercial prior to the rezoning in 2006. Ms. Wissler noted that that was correct. Mr. Seeds noted that this rezoning is to correct a mistake made during the rezoning process. Ms. Wissler noted that that was correct.

Mr. Hornung questioned if a buffer is provided in the areas that abut the residential area. Ms. Wissler questioned if he was referring to the commercial district. Mr. Hornung noted that there was one residential home next to parcel 35-43-37, and he questioned if a buffer would be

provided to the residential area. Ms. Wissler noted that a residential use that is adjacent to commercial must have a 50-foot buffer, but she explained that it is heavily wooded in that area.

Mr. Stine noted that this is the time and date set for the public hearing on Ordinance 2008-01, which would amend the zoning designation for property commonly referred to as “Lakeside Marina”, on Carolyn Street, from Low Density Residential to Commercial General.

Ms. Jill Briggs, 94 Suffolk Drive, questioned if there was a map that listed the parcels that she could view. Ms. Wissler noted that the properties owned by Mr. Brightbill have an “x” marked on them, and they would all be changed to Commercial General. Ms. Briggs explained that she has lived in the neighborhood for seven years, and it is a beautiful and quiet neighborhood that has older residents and young couples who are raising their families. She noted that it is a lovely place, and her concern is that a large commercial building would be built. She stated that she would like to see the beauty of the land preserved.

Mr. Stine noted that since there are no other responses, it would be in order to close the public hearing on Ordinance 2008-01, and the Board may take action if it so desires.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve Ordinance 2008-01, amending the zoning designation of property commonly referred to as “Lakeside Marina”, on Carolyn Street from Low Density Residential to Commercial General. Mr. Seeds seconded the motion.

Mr. Blain questioned if all the parcels presented would be rezoned. Ms. Wissler answered that that was correct. Mr. Blain noted that the Planning Commission stated that the northern portion of Parcel 35-043-047 should remain Low Density Residential. He questioned if staff thinks the entire tract should be rezoned Commercial General. Ms. Wissler answered that staff does maintain that the entire tract should be rezoned Commercial General.

Mr. Hornung noted that he had a concern for the first house on Suffolk, and suggested that the zoning ordinance should be revisited to check the setbacks to see what affect it would

have on the abutting residents. Mr. Seeds suggested that that may be Mr. Brightbill's house. Mr. Brightbill noted that his home is located on Friar Road. Mr. Hornung noted that a 50-foot buffer is a good size, but if he lived there he would want more than 50 feet before he could view a building, or provide for some type of buffering that would block a building. He noted that he would feel much better if he had some type of assurance from the owner. Mr. Brightbill noted that the resident living at that address is Mr. Knapp who worked for him for 25 years. He noted that he has no plans for redevelopment, and if he would build, the only access to that property would be through his driveway. He noted if he would ever develop the land that would be the last place to develop.

Mr. Blain noted that the property was commercial before it was changed to Low Density Residential in July 2006. Mr. Seeds noted that this was a zoning map error, and he suggested that it is a matter of fairness to rezone the land back to commercial.

Mr. Hawk called for a roll call vote: Mr. Blain, aye; Mr. Crissman, aye; Mr. Hornung, aye; Mr. Seeds, aye; and Mr. Hawk, aye.

Curative Amendment of the zoning ordinance regarding Article 505, Noise

Ms. Wissler noted that she would turn the meeting over to Mr. Stine as Mr. Ross Schell is not in attendance.

Mr. Stine noted that this is the time and date set for the public hearing on Curative Amendment of the zoning ordinance regarding Article 505, Noise, submitted by Ross Schell, 203 Knollwood Drive, Harrisburg. Mr. Stine questioned Ms. Wissler if she provided notice to Mr. Schell that the public hearing was this evening. Ms. Wissler answered yes. Mr. Stine noted that that being the case, for a curative amendment, the applicant has the burden of proof, and he cannot do that if he is not present. He noted that he would recommend that the Board close the public hearing. Mr. Stine questioned Ms. Wissler if Mr. Schell contacted her to request a

continuance or anything like that. Ms. Wissler answered that he did not. He noted that the public hearing should be closed, and the Board may also be heard. He noted that his recommendation would be to deny the curative amendment because Mr. Schell did not attend the meeting to meet his burden of proof.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to deny the Curative Amendment of the zoning ordinance Article 505, Noise, as presented. Mr. Blain seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk called for a roll call vote: Mr. Blain, aye; Mr. Crissman, aye; Mr. Hornung, aye; Mr. Seeds, aye; and Mr. Hawk, aye.

Acquisition of sanitary sewer easement through property on
Earl Drive at Colonial Road from Mark Hankin

Mr. Wolfe noted that the Board, in its capacity as members of the Lower Paxton Township Authority, has authorized the Sewer Department staff to acquire an easement for the extension of the sanitary sewer line through Mr. Hankin's property. He noted that staff has been in discussion with Mr. Hankin, on a very protracted basis, and Mr. Stine has also been involved in detailed discussions with Mr. Hankin's attorney, with the results of those discussions proposing Mr. Hankin to provide the easement and temporary construction easement for \$6,600.00. He noted that the easement documents are attached to the proposal from Mr. Hankin, with a plan showing the location of the sanitary sewer line. He noted that it is staff's recommendation that the Board accept Mr. Hankin's proposal and provide payment in the amount of \$6,600.00 for the necessary sanitary sewer easement and temporary construction easement. He noted that the item is complete for Board action. He noted that once the Township acquires the easement, staff will report to the Township Authority, per the Management Agreement, that the Township has completed this activity.

Mr. Hornung questioned what the \$6,600.00 was for. Mr. Wolfe noted that it is for the acquisition of the property. Mr. Hornung questioned if this included legal fees as well. Mr. Stine

suggested that this was not necessarily a payment for the cost of the property, but the consideration for the easement. Mr. Hornung questioned how much property was taken. Mr. Stine answered that over an acre was taken. Mr. Wolfe noted that the easement that is 30-feet wide runs for a long distance.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve the acquisition of sanitary sewer easement through the property of Mr. Hankin, located at Earl Drive and Colonial Road in the amount of \$6,600.00. Mr. Seeds seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk called for a roll call vote: Mr. Crissman, aye; Mr. Hornung, aye; Mr. Seeds, aye; and Mr. Hawk, aye.

Resolution 2008- 23; Authorization of the right-of-way and easements
from property identified as 1602 Colonial Road

Mr. Wolfe explained that this resolution allows the Township to take all steps necessary to acquire an easement from property identified at 1602 Colonial Road for the project that will improve Colonial Road at McIntosh Road to enhance the sight distance. He noted that the project is being undertaken by the Triple Crown Corporation (TCC) in accordance with the roadway improvement agreement that it has in place with Lower Paxton Township by Resolution 2007-03.

Mr. Wolfe explained that the areas to be acquired are a construction easement and a small section of driveway. He noted that the construction easement is necessary along Colonial Road in the area of the frontage of the property, approximately 20-feet wide, and the length of the property. Mr. Seeds noted that the easement requests 18-feet at the radius and ten feet in the front of the property. He noted that the easement that needs to be acquired at the intersection of McIntosh and Colonial Road is made up of 178 square feet.

Mr. Wolfe noted that this Resolution would permit the Township to acquire the land, up to eminent domain process, if needed. He noted that it is staff's request to adopt the Resolution in order to start the acquisition process.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve Resolution 2008-23; authorization of the right-of-way and easements from property identified as 1602 Colonial Road. Mr. Blain seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk called for a roll call vote: Mr. Blain, aye; Mr. Crissman, aye; Mr. Hornung, aye; Mr. Seeds, aye; and Mr. Hawk, aye.

Authorization to the Township Solicitor to appeal the decision of the South Hanover Township zoning officer's denial of a permit to Lower Paxton Township to fill a parcel of land located in the 700 block of Nyes Road

Mr. Hawk noted that this is an authorization to the Township Solicitor to appeal the decision of the South Hanover Township zoning officer's denial of a permit to the Township to fill a parcel of land located in the 700 block of Nyes Road.

Mr. Stine noted that the Township's Authority filed a permit application to fill the site in the 700 block of Nyes Road, noting that the Township already has all the permits to do this from the agency that governs the filling of those areas. He noted that for reasons unknown, South Hanover Township has denied the application. He noted that the only way to adjudicate the decision is to appeal the decision to the Zoning Hearing Board. Mr. Hornung noted that South Hanover Township justified its decision based on what the Township plans to build in the area, but they can't do that since the Township has not submitted a plan. Mr. Stine noted that all the Township wants to do is to fill the land in accordance with a land development plan that was done some years ago, and in accordance with the permits received to fill the site. Mr. Hornung noted that what the Township does after that does not have a direct impact on the request. Mr. Seeds suggested that the only person permitted to deny the request would be the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Mr. Stine noted that the Township has met all the requirements.

Mr. Blain made a motion to authorize the Township Solicitor to appeal the decision of the South Hanover Township's zoning officer's denial of a permit to Lower Paxton Township to fill a parcel of land located in the 700 block of Nyes Road. Mr. Crissman seconded the motion. Mr.

Hawk called for a roll call vote: Mr. Blain, aye; Mr. Crissman, aye; Mr. Hornung, aye; Mr. Seeds, aye; and Mr. Hawk, aye.

Change Order No. 1 to the contract for water line extension in George Park

Mr. Wolfe explained that E. K. Service Inc., is under contract with Lower Paxton Township to install a water line in the Thomas B. George Jr. Park. He noted that a change order is requested in the amount of \$4,364.47 for the following items: 1) Time lost in attempting to locate the water service line previously installed by others for a total of five hours - \$1,225.04; 2) Extra material, labor and equipment time necessary to tie into the water service as mentioned above - \$1,982.67; and 3) Additional 4-inch valve required by United Water Pennsylvania - \$1,156.76. He noted that staff requests the Board to approve this change order, as it has been reviewed by the Township Engineer and found to be acceptable.

Mr. Seeds questioned if the work has been completed. Mr. Wolfe noted that he did not know if the work has been completed, but he noted that HRG, Inc. has approved the payment for the items. Mr. Seeds noted that United Water PA had some difficulty in the pricing for this project. He suggested that it is three times what the price should be, and possibly the project was underbid. He questioned if the Township has any other option. Mr. Wolfe explained that the work is necessary to complete the project. Mr. Seeds noted that the purpose is to get water service to the restrooms.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve the Change Order No. 1 to the contract for the water line extension in George Park in the amount of \$4,364.47. Mr. Blain seconded the motion.

Mr. Hornung noted in the past, when scrutinizing the request for additional funds, he has yet to find any indication that we have never been billed for something that was missed in the original contract due to someone trying to recoup a low bid. He noted that staff, generally speaking, has made it very difficult for anyone trying to obtain additional funds for a low bid. He

noted that he has built a level of trust over the years that staff is doing a good job in this area. He noted that the change orders have been legitimate and the contractor was owed the money. Mr. Seeds noted that he hopes the Township was correct, and is diligent in its reviews.

Mr. Hawk called for a voice vote and a unanimous vote followed.

Preliminary/final subdivision and land development plan for
Olympic Realty Development Company

Mr. Hawk noted that this item was dropped from the agenda at the request of the applicant.

Improvement Guarantees

Mr. Hawk noted that there were nine improvement guarantees for consideration.

Quail Hollow, Phase V

A new bond with Developers Surety and Indemnity Company, in the amount of \$414,340.30 with an expiration date of May 8, 2009. (This is a change in developer and financial institution.)

Quail Hollow, Phase V

A release in a bond with Lexon Insurance Company, in the amount of \$414,340.30.

Quail Hollow, Phase IV

A new bond with Developers Surety and Indemnity Company, in the amount of \$112,118.60 with an expiration date of May 8, 2009. (This is a change in the developer and financial institution.)

Quail Hollow, Phase IV

A release in a bond with Lexon Insurance Company, in the amount of \$112,118.60.

Old Iron Estates, Phases I & III

An extension in a letter of credit with Fulton Bank, in the amount of \$30,712.50 with an expiration date of July 14, 2009.

Old Iron Estates, Phase II

A new letter of credit with Fulton Bank, in the amount of \$476,960.00 with an expiration date of June 3, 2009.

5710 Union Deposit Road

A new letter of credit with Integrity Bank, in the amount of \$248,000.00 with an expiration date of May 21, 2009. (This is a change in the developer.)

5710 Union Deposit Road

A release in a letter of credit from Integrity Bank, in the amount of \$248,000.00.

Schiavoni LTD

A reduction in a letter of credit with Pennsylvania State Bank, in the amount of \$183,060.00 with an expiration date of December 31, 2008.

Candlewood Suites

A new letter of credit with Mid Penn Bank, in the amount of \$210,700.00 with an expiration date of May 28, 2009.

Jeffrey S. Coleman One-Story Office Building

An extension and 10% increase in a letter of credit with Fulton Bank, in the amount of \$17,985.00 with an expiration date of June 21, 2009.

Mindy Meadows, Phase I

An extension and 10% increase in a letter of credit with Fulton Bank, in the amount of \$17,325.00 with an expiration date of June 27, 2009.

Mr. Seeds questioned if Ms. Wissler had the estimates. Ms. Wissler stated that she did, but did not have them with her.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve the nine listed improvement guarantees as presented. Mr. Blain seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk called for a voice vote, and the improvement guarantees were unanimously approved.

Payment of Bills

Mr. Seeds made a motion to pay the bills of Lower Paxton Township and Lower Paxton Township Authority. Mr. Blain seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk called for a voice vote, and a unanimous vote followed

Adjournment

There being no further business, Mr. Crissman made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Mr. Blain seconded the motion, and the meeting adjourned at 8:37 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Maureen Heberle
Recording Secretary

Approved by,

Gary A. Crissman
Township Secretary